

Spearhead

60p

No 248 OCTOBER 1989



The 'Chunnel'

THATCHER'S BIG WHITE ELEPHANT

(See page 4)

Nationalist comment

WHAT WE THINK

on the month's news

The oracle speaks

It used to be said of former US President Gerald Ford that he would be incapable of walking and chewing gum at the same time — this being a reference to what were thought to be his very limited cerebral resources. We are often reminded of this little satire when we witness the presence on TV of Mr. Tom King, erstwhile Secretary for Northern Ireland and now Secretary for Defence. Only last month Mr. King treated us to one of his gems when he commented on the recent IRA bomb which exploded at the barracks of the Royal Marines College of Music at Deal, killing several young bandmen.

This kind of atrocity, proclaimed Mr. King, was utterly mindless — just killing for the sake of killing, for no possible political object could be achieved by the bombers. Over 20 years, continued Britain's new defence chief, it has been shown that Britain will never surrender to terrorism.

If a prize were to be offered for the most fatuous political statement of the present year, this one by Mr. King would surely be among the front qualifiers. Nothing could be less 'mindless' than the bombing campaign of the IRA that has proceeded over the past two decades, and nothing could more successfully in the political objectives it has achieved. The IRA terror has created in Britain exactly the climate that the terrorists have planned for and has drawn exactly the political response that it has been intended to draw. An atmosphere of mounting panic and desper-



DEAL — IMMEDIATELY AFTER
Government has made terrorism pay

ation has reigned throughout political circles in Britain, leading to pressure building up for British withdrawal from Northern Ireland. In response to this pressure, successive governments have made one gesture after another, all pointing to the gradual weakening of the province's link with the United Kingdom — the latest of these being the infamous Anglo-Irish Agreement, which implicitly recognises the right of the Irish Republic to be consulted in the conduct of Northern Irish affairs.

Another ten years of bombing, and another ten years of responsive concessions by British Governments, and Northern Ireland will to all effective purposes be part of the Irish Republic.

Yet Mr. King, echoed on this occasion by HRH The Duke of Edinburgh, tries to tell us that the Deal bombing amounted to "killing for the sake of killing" and had "no political objective."

It would be appropriate to dismiss Mr. King's remarks as a joke — were not the tragedy of the occasion one which made joking impossible. We can only reflect deeply on a situation in which bumbling asses like this manage to become elevated to the top governmental posts in the land. Are there really no better men available? Or is it because of,

rather than despite, the fact that he is such a hopeless wally that Mr. Tom King is currently the man in charge of Britain's national defences in the hostile and unstable world in which we live?

The purpose of war

A tremendous furore has blown up during the past few weeks over allegations of collusion between the Ulster Defence Regiment and loyalist paramilitary organisations operating a 'shoot-to-kill' policy against known IRA terrorists.

The allegations are that certain sensitively placed officers of the UDR have been a party to the leaking to the loyalist paramilitaries of information concerning the identities and whereabouts of the IRA gangsters — this amounting, in effect, to a 'shoot-to-kill' policy carried out by the UDR by proxy. Not surprisingly, the Government of the Irish Republic, which has been encouraged by Westminster to believe that it has a right to say what should be what in Northern Ireland, has been proposing that the Ulster Defence Regiment should be disbanded. Needless to say, our own Government, instead of telling the Republic to mind its own business, has sat down and listened respectfully to its suggestions.

Is there in fact a 'shoot-to-kill' policy being carried out by the UDR in collaboration with the loyalist paramilitaries? We do not know, but if there is not there damned well should be. Britain, as we have reiterated often enough in these columns and in the columns of our stable-mate *British Nationalist*, is at war in Northern Ireland. In war, killing the enemy is the name of the game. If the Government had recognised this principle a long time ago, and put it into practice in its conduct of security operations in the province, there would be a lot fewer IRA gangsters on the loose right now.

And, as a consequence of that, the young Marine musicians who died in the Deal bombing might still be alive.

The lady brandishes her handbag

Pure farce — that is the only apt way to describe Mrs. Thatcher's meeting in Tokyo last month with the heads of the Japanese Government. The PM was in Japan, as everyone knows, to try to persuade the Japs that they must increase their purchases of British and other western exports, so as to reduce the ridiculous imbalance of trade that now exists. It is not of course the first time that this plea has been made.

The Prime Minister's hosts no doubt listened very politely and attentively to her strictures, no doubt taking note of her warning that protective practices in the West would be the inevitable result of Japan's continued trade barriers against western goods. No doubt the talks ended in much bowing and smiling and promises that the Japs would do their best to comply with Maggie's wishes.

And, equally without doubt, when the Iron Lady's plane had disappeared in the distant skies her hosts looked at each other and burst out laughing.

For these gentlemen of Nippon know very well that hints of discrimination against their goods when coming from the likes of Mrs. Thatcher are just so much hot air. It is a policy of the barons of international finance, who are Maggie's masters, to wreck British manufacturing industry while the industries of her Asiatic rivals are built up. There will be no protective practices — if the PM had ever been

SPEARHEAD

No. 248 OCTOBER 1989

PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

Editor: John Tyndall

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British Nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its Editor and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless it is specifically stated otherwise, the views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Spearhead* are the sole responsibility of their writers and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editor or the policies of any political organisation that *Spearhead* may support editorially.

The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* does not necessarily indicate that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function being advertised.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of each month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse to publish advertisements submitted.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts of articles for possible publication, which should normally not be longer than 1,250 words, unless especially pre-arranged with the Editor, and should be typed in double-spacing. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless the writers specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should he deem this necessary.

Those wishing to reprint *Spearhead* articles should first gain the permission of the Editor and undertake to include with the printed matter the author's name and the name and address of *Spearhead*.

serious about these they would have been applied long ago. Maggie's trip to Japan was no more than a propaganda exercise designed to assure her wavering supporters at home that once again she was 'standing up for Britain'. That was all there was to the exercise.

Such handbag-waving diplomacy does not impress tough realists like the Japanese; it only increases their contempt for this country — a contempt well earned in the light of what has happened on the world stage during the past 30 years.

'Market forces' or grand strategy?

It is time to ask the question: is it the policy of the Thatcher Government that there will eventually be no such thing as a British-owned motor industry?

This question follows the recent decision that the American Ford company will be buying a 15 per-cent stake in Jaguar — in the opinion of most motor industry analysts, the thin end of the wedge of eventual take-over. If take-over there is going to be, it will simply follow a familiar pattern of recent years, including Lotus and Aston Martin, the truck companies Foden, ERF and of course more recently Leyland, and the buying by Honda of a considerable share in Austin-Rover.

Mrs. Thatcher, when asked about the Jaguar/Ford deal, predictably said that she welcomed it, adding that it would "immensely strengthen Jaguar."

Jaguar cars in recent years have most certainly not conformed to the popular image of the sub-standard British motor car. They are probably the finest products in their range to be bought anywhere in the world. Jaguars were notorious for mechanical failures back in the seventies but under the management of Sir John Egan they have turned all this around and now have a worldwide reputation of reliability and excellence.

The trouble has been that Jaguars are heavily dependent on overseas markets — indeed 75 per-cent of production is sold abroad, most of all in the United States. This has made the company extremely vulnerable to currency fluctuations on the world's financial markets. This has led to a disastrous drop in sales over the past year or so.

Why has this not happened to some of the fast-selling Japanese cars? Surely, as the Yen has become stronger on the world's money markets Jap cars have become more expensive to buy abroad.

The essential difference lies in the fact that Japanese cars enjoy a huge home market. The almost total indisposition of the Japanese to buy foreign motor cars mean that the great Jap companies like Nissan, Toyota and Honda are viable entities on the basis of sales at home, with exports being essentially icing on the cake. British motor firms enjoy no such advantages. With the British market flooded by foreign products, British motor manufacturers are in an extremely precarious position and constantly totter on the brink of insolvency, even when their products are of high quality, like Jaguar.

If the British market was closed to Mercedes, BMWs and other foreign cars in the range competitive to Jaguar, the latter would enjoy a huge trade here in Britain and would be correspondingly much less dependent on business abroad. In such circumstances Jaguar almost certainly would never have reached the danger point of acute cash shortage that led recently to the Ford 'rescue' operation — the quotation marks being used here because in reality no big world-trading motor company ever goes to the rescue of its competitors; it

only works to put them out of business. Take-overs conducted in instalments are a well tested way of doing this.

Our Government could, as has been indicated, create the conditions that would ensure Jaguar's survival as an all-British company; it refuses to do so, just as it refused to do so with other firms that have been wholly or partially taken over by foreign multinationals.

In the foreword to his illuminating book *The New Unhappy Lords*, A.K. Chesterton, in large part this Editor's political mentor, observed:-

"...where policies...are shaped to the attainment of one end, the explanation that they can be traced to a large number of accidents or coincidences places a greater strain on credulity than does the belief that they have been deliberately contrived, especially when the mass of circumstantial evidence is examined..."

So consistent has been the trend with regard to the run-down and foreign take-over of the once great British motor industry that we are entitled to ask whether this trend is the product of accidents or coincidences or whether it has been taking place in accordance with a clearly conceived plan, to which British Government (in particular the present Government) has been a willing party throughout. Is such a supposition paranoid? If so, how else can it be explained that a nation that has demonstrated its ability to make the best motor cars in the world is now in danger of having no motor industry to call its own anymore?

Their water — or ours?

We are not experts on the subject of water supplies, and we are therefore not in a position to say whether British water is of a standard adequate to safeguard the good health of the population using it. We have always been opposed to the fluoridation of water, but that is an issue separate from the one currently in the news.

What we do know is that, if British water is not up to standard, as some think it is not, it should be by the decision of **British Government**, and not of the European Common Market Commission, that steps should be taken to bring it up to scratch. It is Britain's water, and not Europe's, and Europe has a damned impertinence trying to dictate to us what we shall do with it.

This should have been the forthright message conveyed from Westminster to the Eurocrats who recently announced that Britain would be taken to the European Court if she did not take steps to conform to standards laid down by the EEC. Instead, Environment Secretary Chris Patten took himself off to Brussels and pleaded abjectly with the Euro-Commissioners to postpone their threatened legal action. On succeeding in his quest, Mr. Patten was reported in the press as being "jubilant." We are sure he was — he no doubt will have wagged his tail.

In the meantime we are left wondering to what further depths of subservience and grovelling members of our Government will descend in their dealings with the EEC *et al.* If they get any lower, their antics will be positively subterranean.

Fruits of 'family planning'

Britain's armed forces are facing a severe crisis in the way of a manpower shortage. To put it in simple terms, there just are not enough young men volunteering to join up to

replace those who are reaching the age of retirement. This is, on common admission, due to the drastic reduction of the birthrate that began in the later part of the 1960s. The same problem, incidentally, is being faced by many other institutions and occupations. In the case of the armed forces, numbers have fallen by 8,000 in the past year.

In a desperate measure to plug the shortage, the Government is encouraging the drafting of women into men's units in the services. We believe this to be an appalling policy; women can play a valuable role in service tasks that are suitable to their physical and temperamental make-up, but this should not include those duties that have traditionally been men's preserve.

The amazing thing is that Government ministers and service chiefs are treating this recent phenomenon as if it were some natural disaster — the working of the elements rather than something contrived by man himself. When the 'population control' mania started to become fashionable, first with the introduction of 'The Pill' and later with the legalisation of abortion, any simpleton could have foreseen the consequences in the way of national manpower shortages 18-25 years hence. Yet our overlords of government and mass media hailed this new phenomenon as if it represented the ultimate in human enlightenment!

Of course, when 'population control' by artificial methods becomes the norm, not only is the quantity of the population reduced in the younger age groups, so also is average population **quality**. The best endowed families tend to practise limitation of births the most, while the natural law of the survival of the fittest goes into reverse.

Britain will be impotent in war, as well as in industry and so many other vital fields of national life, until this madness is ended, and we recognise again that a vigorous birthrate is the foundation of a strong and healthy nation.

Green insanity

What has been said above is not the way the 'Green' Party sees it. Last month we were treated to the pantomime of the Greens' first properly publicised national annual conference. If ever there has been such a ludicrous assembly of cranks and crackpots in the conference halls of Britain we have not seen it.

One of the major things to come out of the Green conference was the news that the party actually regards as desirable the reduction of the population of Britain to around 25 million. Eventually the conference shirked passing a resolution to this effect, as its delegates were frightened of the possible implications that public opinion might attach to it. However, it did become clear that a very substantial number of those present agreed with the scheme.

Measures the Greens have in mind to achieve this objective include extended 'education' in family planning, making it more 'socially acceptable' for married couples to have no children and free vasectomies on demand. Sex education for children would put emphasis on "emotional relationships and social responsibility from a population perspective."

It is very difficult to believe that the Greens are actually ignorant of the fact that their aspirations for a reduced population size will not be shared by the races of the Third World or indeed by their cousins here in Britain. The Greens talk as if overpopulation is a white British and western problem, whereas the

Contd. overleaf

WHAT WE THINK

(Contd. from prev. page)

reality is that it is wholly a coloured problem — for which a solution needs to be found in Latin America, Asia and Africa, not here.

It is for this reason that we believe that, behind the apparent nuttiness that pervaded the atmosphere at the Green jamboree in Wolverhampton, there are shrewder brains at work — minds fully capable of grasping that such proposals for population reduction will dangerously threaten white race survival, while in no way impeding the proliferation of the non-white races of this world (and indeed of this country).

It is for this reason that we ask: **who** and **what** lie behind the Greens? To whose favours do they owe their recent massive leg-up in British politics? Whose interests are they serving that they should of late be receiving such generously lavish coverage by the mass media?

The way it strikes us is that the so-called 'Greens' are being sinisterly dishonest about their true colours. They look more and more like **Reds** in disguise — Reds who have deserted the lunatic left because they see it as no longer having public credibility, and who have donned new clothes to conceal what are essentially very old objectives.

Violating the inviolable

A hell of a row recently broke out over a poster produced by Lynx, an animal rights group, which showed a furrier with blood-stained fingers holding a fur coat. It carried the caption: "How would you like your fur, Madam, gassed, strangled, trapped or electrocuted."

What caused the row? Did the poster anger the fur trade by being 'anti-furrier'? Well, not exactly. The problem was that the artist had depicted the features of the furrier displayed as being distinctly Jewish. Some may suggest that this would not be entirely out of place, since a great many furriers belong to that ethnic group. However, Lynx soon discovered that there are certain groups of people in this country whom it is not permissible to offend. The Board of Deputies of British Jews duly entered the controversy after claiming to have received several complaints from members of the Jewish community. The fact that the man in the poster looked Jewish was apparently compounded in villainy by the part of the caption containing the word 'gassed', which evoked memories of wartime concentration camps and the 'holocaust'.

Said Board of Deputies vice chairman Eric Moonman: "It is deeply and grossly offensive and unless there is greater control over trading in merchandise like this the Government will have to take some action."

Which of course sounds authentically like orders from the very top!

Is this man real?

Mr. Maxwell Hutchinson, the author of a new book replying to the Prince of Wales' recent criticism of modern architecture, seems for all the world like someone who has escaped from the *Daily Telegraph's* 'Peter Simple' column.

Mr. Hutchinson has entitled his book *The Prince of Wales: Right or Wrong?* and its publication has been timed to coincide with that of the Prince's book, also on architecture, entitled *A Vision of Britain*.

According to Mr. Hutchinson, the Prince's support for classicism in architecture has "made respectable that which is deceitful." The grand public buildings of Victorian and Edwardian Britain, which Prince Charles so admires, "glorified the hierarchy of a paternalistic world," are "tyrannical" and therefore no more than "civic jingoism."

We had to read and re-read these descriptions several times over to be convinced that the writer was not engaging in a piece of self-deprecating satire. But no he wasn't. The fellow was evidently quite serious. This being so, he quite clearly bids to take his place alongside the Bishop of Bevidon, Mrs. Dutt-Pauker, Ken Slabb and Dr. Heinz Kiosk in the 'Simple' cast of lovable loonies. The awkward fact, however, is that Mr. Maxwell Hutchinson is in fact very, very real, and furthermore is a highly influential voice in those quarters where the present and future design of Britain's towns and cities is determined. This is, to say the least, both depressing and alarming. "Civic jingoism" — what on earth is wrong with that? It is precisely the virtue that has done more than anything else to create the truly great cities of our continent and civilisation. And if the creations typifying these cities glorified "the hierarchy of a paternalistic world," they certainly were none the worse for that, for they have also given delight and inspiration, to say nothing of pride, to millions of ordinary citizens of all classes.

We in this magazine have for a long time held the view that there is a correlation between the hideousness of modern architecture and the ideology of the political left. The left-wing drivel contained in Mr. Hutchinson's ravings against the classicists and their supporters tends to underline this boldly and unmistakably.

The whole issue also confirms our view that the Prince of Wales, by challenging the modernists of the architectural world, has taken on a vested interest the power of which he probably does not even begin to understand.

At any rate, on this issue if not on many others, we heartily support the Prince. Modern architecture is soulless junk. The sooner the demolition squads get to work on it the better for us all.

Not feasible

HRH The Prince has in recent weeks got himself in the headlines in connection with matters other than architecture. We are referring to his proposal, which received much comment in the papers in August, for the setting up of a body of young people, a kind of 'army' in fact, to undertake community work, with a view to taking hooligans and idlers off the streets and occupying their time with socially and nationally useful tasks.

This would not be at all a bad suggestion — were it not for one fundamental flaw. The scheme, as envisaged by His Royal Highness, was to be an entirely voluntary one. No conscription or compulsion would be involved. Those taking part in it would do so of their own free will; they would not be 'drafted'.

This concept of course fits snugly into the liberalistic mind, which recoils in horror at the suggestion that anyone should be compelled to do anything. As with almost all other such concepts, it is airy-fairy nonsense. It is nonsense because the type of people who would volunteer for such a service, as envisaged by Prince Charles, would be the very types least requiring the discipline and commitment that such service would involve.



HUTCHINSON
Against classicism in architecture

To the hooligans and idlers it would have no attraction whatever, and they would continue to hooliganise and idle. The scheme would not have the slightest effect in reducing the violence of football fans, the disgraceful conduct overseas of certain brands of British holidaymakers or the environmentally destructive habits of the litter louts who strew our public places with their paper and cardboard throwaways.

Britain in 1989 contains a substantial population of young people who desperately need 'conscripting' and 'compelling', for that is the only way that they will be organised to do anything useful for society. Therefore to talk of harnessing their time and energies by way of some sort of 'volunteer' organisation is pure romanticism. There isn't a snowball's chance in hell of this ever happening.

White elephant grows

As we always predicted, the cost of the Channel Tunnel is escalating to monstrous proportions. Latest estimates of expenses involved in building the high-speed rail link which will serve the Chunnel is that these will amount to more than £3 billion — a far cry from the £1.2 billion originally forecast when the project was on the drawing board.

According to the *Daily Express* (September 21st), "Industry sources say that were the true travel cost charged in fares, without a government subsidy, the price could be almost the same as flying and much more expensive than using the ferry."

Precisely — which goes to underline what we have said again and again: that the Channel Tunnel was conceived, and is being built, as a **political symbol**, not as a viable economic enterprise. The Government that is constantly urging us that everything in Britain must be 'cost-effective' is championing one of the least cost-effective projects ever undertaken by this country — purely in order to build for itself a monument to its obsession with European Union and its goal of eliminating Britain's separate nationhood. One can just hear the propagandists in full flow when the Chunnel is finally opened — no doubt with Mrs. Thatcher and M. Mitterand or their successors jointly cutting the cord: "See, we're now truly part of Europe!" And one can just picture the masses of boobs nodding their assent — most especially of all at Tory Party conferences.

For this is the only reason why the Channel Tunnel is being built. In economic terms, the thing is a non-starter.

RECOVERING THE NATIONAL CHARACTER

By placing the acquisition of political power before racial regeneration, says JOHN TYNDALL, we are putting the cart before the horse

THE SCENE is a British pub. The discussion is of politics, and in particular the prospects of a victory for the patriotic cause. "The people," one drinker says, "will not wake up and support us until their bellies are empty and there is blood in the streets."

This simplistic explanation of the impotence of the patriotic movement in this country has always seemed to me a stale and discredited one, much too often an excuse for lethargy and failure, and at the same time a token of ignorance of the true factors that make for dynamic political change.

Most certainly, the coming of an extreme condition of national breakdown and crisis, symptomised by great material hardship and a collapse of social order, can sometimes tip the scales that small distance necessary to turn a movement knocking on the gates of power into the occupant of the seat of power itself. But to find the primary cause of any great movement of national awakening we must look for forces of a different kind. How indeed does such a party reach the position where it is knocking at these gates — if not by several years of preparatory work in building up the strength of its active cadres and organisation, during which it is not likely to be favoured by hardship and breakdown of the same magnitude? How — if not because it is able to summon from out of the people substantial numbers who are possessed of healthy outlook and who would be ready to be in active rebellion against their nation's betrayers quite regardless of whether there was any material stimulus to move them in that direction.

Indeed when I think about the people who have been my main collaborators in this struggle over many years I cannot call to mind a single example of one who has a background of any great personal hardship which might be explicable as a motive for his or her approach to politics. All have joined forces with me because of an overwhelming concern for the fate of the British people as a whole, not for any private or personal interest.

WHITEWASH

Those of us in Britain who excuse the inertia of our compatriots in challenging

national treason by saying that they must suffer the pangs of hunger or be the victims of the most appalling crimes, before they do so are really engaging in a piece of national whitewash that is not justified by reality. To begin with, it is unlikely in the extreme that our political masters will ever allow a state of national material privation to be reached that is comparable to that of the late twenties and early thirties; they have learned the lessons of history much too well in that regard! And in any event, absence of material hardship is far too facile an alibi to explain the supine compliance of such vast numbers of British people today in the wholesale sell-out of their country to its internal and external enemies.

It would be much less comforting, but much nearer the truth, to recognise that the British Nation of today has become, apart from an admirable but very tiny minority, a nation utterly unfit for survival of any kind, let alone for such luxuries as greatness. Before we can even contemplate a national revolution to grasp the reins of power for a movement of patriotic intent, the British people must first undergo, on a substantial scale, a fundamental change in national character.

This must certainly incorporate a shrewder political intelligence and awareness, of the kind that requires sustained education in political facts; but it needs, to begin with, something even more basic than that: it needs the recovery of that animal instinct to survive and live — not merely to survive and live as individuals, for nearly everyone wants to do that — but to live as a people, as a race. It requires the creation in this people of a whole new element of toughness that has been lacking, to an increasing degree, for several decades. The same toughness must at the same time be both mental and physical, for these two attributes are closely intertwined.

Political education, of the kind that imparts facts and knowledge, is only effective if, from the start, it falls on soil that is fertile and receptive to sound ideas. Such soil stems, not from intellectual prowess, but from healthy race instinct, from a strong racial character that, by this very instinct, is resistant to enemies and springs naturally to the defence of that which is its own. Effete and exhausted peoples lack this racial character; they can easily be conquered

Cont. overleaf



DECADENCE

This scene is from an 'acid house' party, which took place last June in Berkshire. 11,000 people, mostly young, were in attendance at what became an orgy of drugs, jungle music and race-mixing. Such events could only attract support on this scale in a society in which decadent values had taken over. The widespread popularity of this kind of 'culture' is indicative of a nation suffering a large-scale decline in character

RECOVERING THE NATIONAL CHARACTER

(Contd. from prev. page)

because to them softness has become virtue, surrender has become more decent than the manly fight. Lacking pride of any kind, beginning with the personal, they find national pride an incomprehensible, almost alien, sentiment.

When arguing with our political opponents we encounter a false and fairytale picture of the world that is ridiculous almost beyond belief. We then, all too often, like to rationalise this mental confusion by attributing it to the effects of sustained mass media brainwashing, supplemented by the brainwashing of the schoolroom and the university lecture hall.

But this in itself simply will not do. The truly strong mind and character just cannot be brainwashed. They may be receptive to one or two false trails in the way of faulty information, but those false trails are liable soon to be discovered for what they are once a vigorous and healthy instinct are applied to them. Education and information are, at the end of it all, merely signposts to serve as guides along a route that has in the first place been chosen by the exercise of instinctual judgement. Education and information may well provide valuable insights as to ways and means to defend what is one's own and to resist one's enemies; they should not be required to identify that which has to be defended or to determine that enemies **should** be resisted. They should not be required to impart the basic rule that one should play for one's own side; **instinct** and **character** should do that.

RETARDED DEVELOPMENT

But what we are up against when we deal with our opponents is, in large part, a human type in which instinct and character are almost wholly undeveloped. One look at them is enough to ascertain that something is wrong. The weedy frame, the flabby expression, the slouching carriage and the abundance, at least in some, of scruffiness and dirt — all are indicative of a race of people to whom decadence is the accepted norm.

The political doctrines in which these people believe are simply those doctrines in which it is their deepest instinct to **want** to believe, given that their personal outlook on the world is a fundamentally soft and flaccid one. Utterly lacking in any physical, mental or moral toughness, they naturally find comfort in belief in a universe in which such qualities are redundant and which is governed by people as feeble as themselves and by ideas as limp as their own.

When such a state of mind becomes the

dominant national consensus, the nation in question, unless it changes drastically and rapidly, is fit only for history's scrapheap.

TRANSFORMATION OF RABBLE

When Field Marshal (then General) Montgomery took command of the Eighth Army in North Africa during 1942 what he found there was a dispirited rabble that had lost any belief in the possibility of victory, so overawed had they become by Rommel and his *Afrika Korps*.

Monty immediately instituted obligatory physical training sessions for the troops, to be partaken in with regularity. What was in mind was much more than merely a concern to improve the men's physical capability in battle, although that was far from being without importance. What he was undoubtedly thinking about was the correlation between the feeling of greater physical vitality and the essential **mental** attribute of wanting to win and of believing winning to be possible.

What Montgomery was aiming at was greater physical hardness, accompanied by a greater hardness of **outlook**. In addition, the simple **discipline** involved in the physical training was believed, quite rightly, to be conducive to a more disciplined frame of mind in the approach to all things. Also, **pride** in physical fitness was intended to extend to pride in ability to fight, pride in unit, pride in army.

The British Nation today is exactly like the Eighth Army was just prior to Monty's assumption of command.

It is a sloppy, weak-minded, indisciplined and largely cowardly rabble. It has little faith in its ability to win at anything. It is even prone to question whether winning is desirable anyway. There seems almost no national disaster, no national humiliation, no national shame that affronts its pride — because it has little pride left that can be affronted. It is content to see large slices of the national estate sold off to foreigners because it has actually so come to accept a position of inferiority in relation to these foreigners that it even sees such a sell-off as desirable and right. Many of today's British have come to see themselves as the natural serfs of the world economy, destined to be lorded over by others as part of the preordained order of things. Thus are Japanese invited, with generous government aid, to set up motor factories in our country to show us simple British how to make cars, and it does not occur to anyone outside a small and uninfluential minority that this is an occasion for national shame on a parallel with the abject surrender of Singapore to the forces of Nippon in 1942.

Likewise, the British Nation of today is quite content to be defended and protected by foreigners because it is so lacking in pride and self-respect that it

disdains the thought that it should defend and protect itself.

Talk to most of the people of this nation about lost national power, and you will get the reply that power is an evil and something we are best without. Weakness is the ultimate virtue: to crawl along the ground chastised by the whips of the rest of the world is the passport to heavenly approbation. That is the mentality that has taken hold of a large part of the British people.

STUPOR AND INERTIA

Of course, there are some still who do not entirely share these decadent sentiments. They do not like what is going on. Deep down within them there is some sense of national pride. They would prefer that the country should not be flooded with foreign migrants and sold off to the highest bidder. They do not like the thought that we now drive about in Japanese, Italian and German motor cars after supposedly having defeated the countries of their manufacture in a world war, that we fly the world's air routes in American airplanes, that our major heavy lorry manufacturer is now owned by the Dutch. They do not really enthuse about the Common Market and the prospect that what little national freedom we have left will in due course be handed over to the bureaucrats of that expensive and meddling institution.

Nor are such people happy about rising crime and the fact that so many of the culprits get off ridiculously lightly when caught. Nor do they really like the filth and slush churned out to them nightly and daily on TV under the guise of 'education' or 'entertainment'. Nor do they care for the idea of lavishing hundreds of millions of pounds on an ingrate 'Third World' while there are so many vital services short of money at home.

There is not a totally insignificant number of people who actually would like to live in a land that had regained its pride and self-respect.

But such is the feebleness of mind that grips the majority of these people they seem utterly incapable of recognising and accepting what has to be done to achieve such goals: clutching at straws of illusory comfort, they vote like sheep for any politician or party that maintains a pretence of standing for such desirable things, without troubling themselves to enquire, to study, to submit themselves to the elementary discipline of a bit of hard, realistic thought, so as to ascertain whether such pretences are genuine or not.

In this way have millions deliriously applauded the Thatcher phenomenon, believing the 'Iron Lady' to be the catalyst of some great national renaissance,

Contd. on next page

whereas anyone with a grain of common sense, combined with a little bit of basic instinct, should have been able to perceive from the outset that the lady was a phony, contradicting in her every action the brave words that decorated her public speeches.

And even when people have been able to detect that the postures of such politicians as Mrs. Thatcher were not genuine, they have, for the most part, not been prepared to lift themselves from out of their armchairs, to divert a little bit of time and a little bit of money from the rat-race of material acquisitions, to risk the ignominy of being 'controversial', in order to do something to right what is wrong.

What we have, when all these factors have been considered, is a people who, with a few commendable exceptions, deserve all that has come to them and all that, assuredly, is yet to come to them in the future if things do not radically change.

CHASING A PHANTOM

There are some within the patriotic movement in this country who are constantly urging upon us that the policies the movement puts forward, while sound in principle, are presented too stridently and honestly to be acceptable. Dress these policies up in a coating of icing sugar, avoid strong words, pander to current liberalistic superstitions rather than challenge them head-on, and interlard our message with much soft soap and cant of the kind that are the stock in trade of conventional politicians — and the phantom of mass support that at present eludes us will, as by magic, manifest itself and jump eagerly into our arms.

What all this theorising amounts to is an implicit acceptance of the general state of national feeble-mindedness that has allowed current treason to prosper and a prescription for pursuing power on the backs of the chronically lame in intellect and spirit.

Just supposing that it were possible, by the use of such a formula, to mobilise great masses of people who have not yet been mobilised, and thereby to build a party of some hundreds of thousands to contrast with the minute numbers we are able to mobilise today, just how fit and ready would such a movement be to survive the titanic struggles that must be waged against the old-world forces and vested interests that oppose us — before political power is within our grasp? Why, that movement would wilt away into almost nothing at the very first angry blast of enemy propaganda to hit it — to say nothing of the guarantee that its adherents would sprint off in panic at the first whiff of left-wing physical violence!

But the truth is that it is **not** possible, by use of such tactics, to build any such mass movement. Numerous people have tried

to do so over the years. I have known some of them personally. They have included folk of good intentions, of undoubted patriotic motives. They have raised the banner of 'moderate' nationalism (usually so moderate that even that word, 'nationalism', was strictly excluded from their dictionaries on the grounds that it was too extreme). They thought that their political programmes were so sweet and reasonable, as well as being so manifestly and obviously 'right', that countless thousands would quickly recognise their virtue and flock to enlist. But it never happened. The legions of 'moderate' patriots, supposedly awaiting the call to battle and only thitherward deterred by the 'extremist' image of the organisations that had existed theretofore, simply failed to materialise; they had been no more than a mirage in the hopeful imaginations of their pursuers; in the real world they did not exist. They do not exist now.

They did not and do not exist because those thought to comprise them belong only to the ranks of the inert and the decadent, who will find an excuse for doing nothing regardless of the style of the appeal pitched at them. To say that they refuse to support a movement of national salvation because its image is too 'extreme' sounds so much more virtuous than to say that they will not do so because they simply are too lazy and cowardly and do not care enough. It also enables them to provide balm for their own consciences by excusing their inaction on the grounds of virtue rather than look in the mirror and see the reflections of deserters.

Carried to its logical end, the thinking of these people can be likened to someone whose family has been shipwrecked at sea and is desperately clinging to bits of driftwood to keep afloat, who is approached by a lifeboat offering rescue but turns down that offer because he does not like the colour of the boat or the features of the oarsman!

What we are dealing with here is a people which, in large part, has lost its character. In the worst cases it positively enjoys its servitude; in so many of the better ones it would prefer liberation but would not lift a finger to fight for it.

THE REMEDY

If there is to be political salvation for the British people it can only be, firstly, by means of the national character being restored — restored, that is, in at least sufficient numbers of the population to make an effective fight-back possible.

How then can this be done?

It is the purpose of this article to point out the nature of the sickness and to prescribe the cure only in the very broadest of terms. Therefore a detailed exposition of the measures that are

needed to put that cure into effect is something that falls outside present scope and calls for greater space than is presently to hand. Some basic pointers, however, are in order.

The restoration of national character can only be brought about by means of the infectious power of strong spirits, of the example of strong individuals who raise their heads above the polluted waters of flabbiness all around them and dare to proclaim mighty ideals, while at the same time themselves radiating health, strength and vigour in their personal behaviour and bearing.

This means that our movement must become much more than merely a political one. It means that its mission must be a mission of total regeneration of a people — in mind, body and spirit — as a necessary prerequisite of political recovery. It means that we must combat decadence wherever we see it — beginning with the weeding out of every particle of decadence that may exist within ourselves. We should, where necessary, lick ourselves into shape physically by avoiding too much booze, junk foods and tobacco and by getting plenty of vigorous exercise. We should avoid reading degenerate books and listening to degenerate music. We should reduce the time we spend stuck in front of our television sets. We should set ourselves daily or weekly goals of self-improvement that call for effort and self-discipline. We should stand straight and not slouch, walk proudly and not apologetically, talk in clear and strong tones and not with the lisp of the creep and cringer.

And those of us who have children — what ambitions should we have for them first and foremost? That they should do well at school? That they should get to university? That they should obtain good jobs? All of these things are desirable enough, but they do not come first.

What comes first is that our boys should grow up to be **men** and that our girls should grow up to be **women** — real men and women and not unisex creatures that live at some indeterminate point between the two. What comes next is that they should, at such a time, pick mates of the right race and of good stock and have offspring who will inherit those attributes. Only after those things do academic and professional success matter, and then mostly for the males.

And we ourselves should, from the beginning, impart to our young this order of priorities.

And in the meantime we should imbue them (for it is fairly certain that their schoolteachers will **not** imbue them) with an awareness of the historical greatness of their nation and of the achievements of their race. We should make them proud to be British and white. This should in no

Contd. on page 14

MAGGIE AND HER JEWS

A testimony from the horse's mouth

MARGARET THATCHER, the longest-serving British prime minister this century, recently celebrated the tenth anniversary of her accession to power. The milestone has provided a predictable outpouring of books, articles and documentaries on the 'Iron Lady'.

Less predictable in this mediafest, however, has been the attention focused on one particular phenomenon of her reign: "Thatcher's Jews." Indeed the Jewish contribution to the Thatcher Revolution will merit more than a footnote in British history books.

It is not altogether surprising that Britain's tough and hugely successful prime minister should have close ties with the Jewish community. Her parliamentary home district is the heavily Jewish London borough of Finchley, and political good sense would dictate that she demonstrate friendship toward Israel and work hard for Soviet Jewry.

But Thatcher's affinity for Jews has transcended political expediency. When she talks of Soviet Jewry, the tears she weeps are genuine. More important, when she addresses economic and political issues, her instincts and philosophy coincide almost precisely with the instincts and philosophy that prevail among a large section of British Jewry.

"It is not so much a matter of Thatcher leading and the Jews following," one Jewish banker in London told *The Jewish Week*. "On the contrary, the Jews have set the pace for

Reprinted here is an article which was first published in The Jewish Week, a paper for American Jews, on May 5th this year. The article, written by Helen Davis, is not thought to require comment.

Thatcher."

What has startled the British media is that the leader of Britain's Conservative Party, which newspaper columnist Ian Aitken describes as having a "more than average number of anti-Semites," has given such public expression to her affinities.

Thatcher was not content, as were her predecessors, to hide her retinue of 'court Jews' in government think-tanks and policy committees, carefully shielded from the public gaze.

Thatcher has brought "her Jews" out of the closet. No less than five of her most senior ministers and closest advisers have been

"It is not so much a matter of Thatcher leading and the Jews following; on the contrary, the Jews have set the pace for Thatcher."

Jewish: Education Secretary Keith Joseph, Home Secretary Leon Brittan, Trade Secretary Lord Young, Chancellor of the Exchequer Nigel Lawson and Scottish Secretary Malcolm Rifkind.

It was a phenomenon that moved one former British prime minister to remark that there were more Old Estonians than Old Etonians in Thatcher's cabinet — a reference to the ancient British school of the aristocracy which has traditionally educated Britain's future leaders.

Of Thatcher's Jews, only Lawson, Young and Rifkind remain in their posts. The rest have been elevated to higher, even more powerful, positions.


GURU

Brittan, now Sir Leon, has become the British Commissioner at the European Community. Joseph, now Lord Joseph and widely considered to have been the architect of the Thatcher Revolution, remains Thatcher's 'guru'. Lord Young is being groomed for the immensely powerful job of chairman of the Conservative Party.

Moreover, according to *One of Us*, the much-admired, much-quoted biography of the Iron Lady by journalist Hugo Young, Britain's Chief Rabbi Immanuel Jakobovits has replaced the Archbishop of Canterbury — head of the Anglican Church — as "the

spiritual leader of Thatcher's Britain."

Thatcher has made no secret of her admiration for the Chief Rabbi's bootstraps ethic of self-help, hard work, community service and devotion to family.



CHIEF RABBI JAKOBOVITS
"Spiritual leader of Thatcher's Britain"

Last year, she gave expression to this high regard by elevating the Jewish religious leader to the ranks of nobility. He now glories in the title of Lord Jakobovits — a unique title for a chief rabbi.

"The entrepreneurially successful Jew is in fact the model in many ways of Thatcherite achievement," says Jewish playwright Jonathan Miller. "The Conservative Party now underwrites the values of Jewish entrepreneurial initiative, thrift and ingenuity."

This was not always the case. Historically, British Jews were identified firmly with the mildly socialist Labour Party. It was a natural home for working class immigrants who felt that Labour policies reflected their own interests, and often believed that Judaism's demand for social justice was best expressed by the social-democratic values Labour espoused.

It helped that most of the Labour Party's leaders were staunchly pro-Israel and well-disposed to the Jewish community, during many long decades when the Conservative Party was distinctly cool. Jews and Labour went together as naturally as Jews and Democrats in the United States.

Time and Thatcher broke the mould. The immigrant tailors and shopkeepers in London's impoverished East End gave birth to

Contd. on next page



MRS. T.
"Close ties with Jewish Community"

a generation of doctors, lawyers, accountants and bankers. In the past 20 years they have effortlessly relocated themselves and their life-styles in the affluent, genteel suburbs of North London.

For this new generation, the top priorities are not welfare benefits, socialised health and state education, but the creation of wealth, a favourite theme of the anti-socialist Tories. The wholesale shift into Margaret Thatcher's arms was helped by the strident anti-Israel rhetoric, tinged with anti-Semitism, of Labour's neo-Marxist 'loony left'. Labour's current 'shadow' foreign minister, Gerald Kaufman, who is a vice-president of Britain's Labour Zionist movement, recently visited PLO leader Yasser Arafat in his Tunis headquarters and declared his support for the intifada.

BASTIONS OF JEWISH POWER

Jewish names are prominent in the media, the arts, show business, academia, industry and the professions. A list of Britain's 200 wealthiest citizens, published earlier in recent weeks by the prestigious *Sunday Times*

newspaper in London, included no less than 30 per-cent who were identifiably Jewish.

Jewish representation in such a list is all the more remarkable for the fact that so much of Britain's really big money is old money. Most of the non-Jewish super-rich — from Queen Elizabeth down — are members of England's landed aristocracy who inherited their vast riches.

The *Times* feature on Britain's 200 wealthiest was followed a week later in the same publication by a six-page spread on "The Jews in Britain," based on excerpts from a major new book on the subject — *The Club* — by Stephen Brook (published by Constable, London).

According to Brook, himself Jewish, those Jewish immigrants who washed up on Britain's shores were probably not as bright as those who made it to New York. He quotes Jewish publisher Anthony Blond to support his case.

"The Jews who came here were stupider," said Blond. "They were sold tickets to New York and — my grandfather was one — they got off in Hull thinking it was New York."

Stupider or not, the immigrants to Britain found a very different society from that

encountered by their brethren in the New World.


The United States presented newcomers with a society that was overwhelmingly immigrant in composition and complexion. "The Jews," wrote Brook, "were as welcome as anybody else."

Those who arrived in Britain, however, soon came face to face with what Brook describes as "a string of civic disabilities." The host country was based strongly on social class, a dislike of foreigners and an even greater dislike of any kind of 'cleverness'. Even worse, the existing Jewish establishment was largely unsympathetic to the new immigrant hordes.

Brook laments the fact that British Jews do not begin to match the artistic and intellectual energy and accomplishments of American Jewry. Essentially, he accuses the British community of philistinism and insularity, which ensure it never does more "than cool its heels on the sidelines of British life."

His view is not held by most members of the 330,000-strong Jewish community, who consider the Jewish contribution to British life an impressive achievement.


JEWISH CABINET MEMBERS, PAST AND PRESENT



JOSEPH



BRITTAN



YOUNG



RIFFKIND



LAWSON

THE QUEST FOR TRUTH

An evening in the company of revisionist historian David Irving, as reported by EDDY BUTLER

THOSE who control the present control the past. Those who control the past control the future.

It is a brave man who, having achieved a degree of prosperity and public renown in his chosen career, comes out and challenges the orthodoxies of his age. A man who will cheerfully cast down the tabernacles of established power and shine the welcome light of fresh, clean and pure objectivity upon a subject which has been shrouded in the dark smog of deceit — a subject in which objectivity is a taboo word — yes, such a man has to be regarded with some degree of respect and appreciation. More so, if the orthodoxies so challenged totally underpin the foundations on which the legitimacy of post-war society has been built. Such a man is David Irving.

David Irving made his name as a professional historian, earning his living with the skill

of his pen, and with no academic stipend to subsidise his intensive research. He established his reputation with such works as *The Destruction of Dresden*, *the Destruction of Convoy PQ17*, *Uprising*, *The Battle between the Generals*, *'Accident': the Death of General Sikorski* and *The Rise and Fall of the Luftwaffe* — all of which cut new ground and did not rely on rehashing the tired theories of earlier 'court historians'. These works were followed by *The War Path* and *Hitler's War*, which besides being runaway best-sellers are also required reading at many academic institutions the length and breadth of the country.

By the early 1980s, by dint of his original and unimpeachable research, David Irving was widely, if grudgingly, recognised as being one of the leading historians in the world on the subject of the Second World War and its causes. This is an enormous achievement for a

man who has never attempted to ingratiate himself with the establishment and who, unlike virtually all other historians, is not content to 'play safe' and merely regurgitate the myths and legends which purport to be the standard histories of that conflict.

There followed a brief interlude in which, perhaps overflashed with his own success, David Irving attempted to break into active politics by setting up a right-wing 'think-tank' around his historico-political journal *Focal Point*. This attempt was soon aborted. We are all human, and to err is human. Leading the political renaissance of our nation takes different qualities than those required by a successful historical revisionist. Many years of practical day-to-day experience are needed to grasp the intricacies of the political battleground. Nevertheless, David Irving is now

THE QUEST FOR TRUTH

(Contd. from prev. page)

again playing his most important role, that of an ardent propagandist for the truth. This has been achieved by the recent publication of a series of important and significant books, and by their author's increasingly regular talks and public appearances, not least of which was his expert testimony during the infamous Zundel trials in Canada.


EXPLODING THE CHURCHILL MYTH

The first of the new range of Irving books was the initial volume of *Churchill's War*. Mainstream publishers would only publish this book on condition that certain sections, less than flattering to WC's sacred memory, were edited out. Being a man of principle, Mr. Irving of course refused this, and nevertheless still had the book published in full by resorting to a small, non-establishment publisher in Australia. He (Irving) then personally saw to it that the book was distributed to, and stocked by, all the major bookshops in Britain. His perseverance has been rewarded, for a paperback edition will be available shortly. We meanwhile await the second volume of *Churchill's War* with eager anticipation!

Irving's next venture was a biography of Rudolf Hess, published shortly after that brave prisoner for peace had been done to death at Spandau. This was followed by probably the most important of all Irving's ventures, the publication of the *Leuchter Report*, a detailed engineering and scientific survey which was produced as evidence for the defence at the Zundel trial. The *Leuchter Report* conclusively proved the impossibility of the gassing by Zyklon B of any Jews at Auschwitz during the time of the so-called 'holocaust'. Both the full version of this report and a shorter edition had indeed been stocked and distributed at our own BNP Bookshop for some months previously. Now, however, David Irving has made available a well-produced, glossy, readable, concise — one could almost say 'populist' — edition. But this is no criticism; there is no point in trying to mass-produce a dry, scientific document which is unintelligible to the lay reader. The *Leuchter Report* is of such monumental importance that an easily readable version, available to the mass of the public and endorsed by such a reputable figure as David

Irving, is essential.

Despite the publication of the *Leuchter Report* by Focal Point Publications, David Irving still managed to get his latest work, a biography of Hermann Goering, published by Macmillan over the shrill choruses of the



IRVING

Has done more than anyone to promote the cause of truth in history

Jewish Chronicle, and he also served as an historical adviser to the recent television production *Countdown to War*.

Besides these important tasks, David Irving has lately found time to address a number of gatherings on the findings of his research. One such occasion was an after-dinner speech given recently at a hotel in the West End of London, when Irving spoke at length to a packed and attentive audience on the topic of 'Falsehood in History, from Anne Frank to Auschwitz'.

Irving's illuminating talk was very well received by the audience, which consisted of a wide cross-section of the best elements in British society. It was a warm and friendly gathering of serious individuals, aside from a few murky personages who, like bad pennies, always turn up at such events to try to reingratiate themselves with a community that scorns their presence. Events like this prove that there exists a great underlying unity of purpose which belies the currently factional state of nationalist politics.

In this way, David Irving is helping to open up the vast reservoirs of support and sympathy that lie waiting to be called forth to line up

behind the banners of national resurgence which we have all the while been holding high. And it is not without risk to himself. In Canada recently, at a similar lecture given by Mr. Irving, a few specimens from the multitude of 'survivors' unsuccessfully attempted to disrupt the proceedings. No such occurrence happened — or would have been permitted to happen — at the London function. But those who wish to silence Mr. Irving are not always prone to showing their hand so openly. Only a week after the London dinner, Professor Robert Faurisson, an eminent historical revisionist of a similar kind who provides an invaluable service to the French-speaking world, was set upon in a cowardly attack in Vichy, and had his jaw broken by a squalid gang rejoicing in the name 'Sons of the Memory of the Jews'.

COURAGE

It took some courage for a man in Irving's position to publish a book like the *Leuchter Report*. He has knowingly made himself a target for the same kind of people as attacked Prof. Faurisson. The very least we can do is offer him our modest support, sympathy and encouragement.

By way of a rather contemptible contrast, there are those who say that historical revisionism should not impinge on the political sphere. By a deft feat of logical acrobatics, they not only claim that what happened 50 years ago is irrelevant today, but also that if you do raise certain issues in connection with the last war, you will be confined to the fringes of society! For them, the cause of truth is sacrificed in favour of courting an ever-elusive 'respectability'. They describe as politic what is merely moral cowardice.


At the London dinner David Irving cleverly drew the audience's attention to the host of fakes and forgeries (perhaps the latest of which is the now notorious *Hitler Diaries*) which have spewed forth from that terrible orgy of destruction known as the Second World War.

This is the great contribution that David Irving is making to the cause of truth — which indirectly means the reawakening of our race. We know it, and our enemies know it: knowledge is power. We live in a society buttressed by falsehoods, and truth is the best weapon to plunge into the black hearts of those serpentine forces which seek to control and pervert our destiny.



Professor Robert Faurisson (left) is an ally of David Irving in the field of historical revisionism. Recently he was subjected to a vicious and cowardly assault, near his home in France, by three young Jewish thugs, who no doubt hoped thereby to silence him.

The *Leuchter Report* (right) is one of the most recent publications to be promoted by Irving. It comprises the testimony of a leading American engineer to the effect that gas chambers could not possibly have existed on the site of Auschwitz concentration camp



'WAR CRIMES' TRIALS FARCE REACHES BRITAIN

NORMAN WHITE looks at a new inquisition now being launched against elderly UK residents who should be left to live out the rest of their lives in peace

FORTY YEARS AGO the world witnessed one of the most stupendous travesties of justice ever. In the wake of the Second World War, the victorious Allies, Communist Russia aided and abetted by the western democracies of France, the USA and Britain and her Dominions, staged the International Military Tribunal at Nuremberg, at which 21 leaders of National Socialist Germany were put on trial.

With a total disregard for all legal principles, the victors were the accusers, prosecutors, judges and executioners. The accused, before they entered the dock, were assumed to be guilty of the charges brought against them, which were mostly being concocted by the Allies while the war was still being waged. *Ex post facto* legislation was created, under which men were tried for 'crimes' that were only declared crimes after they had allegedly been committed. The principle of *nulla poena sine lege*, which means a person can only be convicted for infringing a law that was in force at the time of the infringement, was set aside by the jurists from the Allied powers, whose political masters had set their hearts on staging show-trials of so-called 'war criminals'. It need hardly be said that the atrocities and massacres perpetrated by the Allies themselves were never mentioned during these proceedings, let alone allowed to become the subject of any indictments. 'Evidence' was provided from forged and doctored documents; trick photography techniques were employed; and physical torture of the most horrendous kind was used to extract 'confessions'. For the following four years the same kinds of 'trials', employing the same methods, were conducted in each of the victors' zones on German territory, while Russia and its communist satellites carried out their own brand of 'justice' behind the Iron Curtain.

NEW WITCH HUNT

Some thirty years later, during the time of the Carter administration, the Justice Department of the United States established the Office of Special Investigations (OSI) at the instigation of its Zionist masters. The OSI was headed by a Jew, one Neal Sher, and staffed by many other members of the same ethnic group. Its *raison d'être* was nothing but a witch hunt of so-called 'war criminals'.

A great deal of venomous vituperation has been levelled by the mass media, both in Britain and in the United States, against Senator Joseph McCarthy's exposures of communist penetration of American government and of other influential institutions during the 1950s. The Marxists and their friends of course dubbed this as a 'witch

hunt', but it pales into insignificance when measured against the relentless, unrelenting campaign of persecution, harassment and hounding which Zionist agencies have carried on against aging people whose only crime, in fact, was to have fought World War II on the side of the losers.

This efficiently organised and lavishly funded inquisition is now in its fifth decade. Since becoming part of the official instrumentality of the US Government in the late 1970s, the witch hunt has extended its scope far beyond the USA — to Canada, Australia and now Britain (all, note, English-speaking countries).

In February 1987 the self-styled 'Dean' of the Simon Wiesenthal Centre in Los Angeles, one Marvin Hier, presented to our Home Secretary Douglas Hurd a list of alleged 'war criminals' who were said to reside in the UK, and demanded that measures be taken against them. This first approach met with a noticeable lack of enthusiasm on the Home Secretary's part. It is clear that following this the friends of Mr. Hier began to apply the 'pressures' at which they are so adept. In November 1987 Neal Sher of the OSI called on Hurd to turn the screws, making the same demands and providing 'evidence' of

'murders', 'shootings' and 'deportations', naturally enough of Jews. As a consequence of these later representations, the British Government had within two months set up an enquiry. Under the caption 'War criminals: evidence wanted', public notices were inserted in newspapers (at the expense of the Government, not of the Jewish agencies) announcing an enquiry and calling for information about "war criminals responsible for genocide, murder or manslaughter in Germany or German-occupied territories during the Second World War" alleged to be in the UK or living elsewhere in the world with British nationality. The notice went on disingenuously to disclose that "the names of some suspects have already been sent to the Home Office." In fact the entire business was plotted in one of the international Zionist HQ buildings in New York or Jerusalem, with the list of names and allegations drawn up and supplied by the OSI and the Wiesenthal Centre.

One year later, in July 1989, two Government-appointed officials carrying out the investigations of the inquiry, former Director of Public Prosecutions Sir Thomas Hetherington and former Crown Agent in Scotland

Contd. overleaf




NUREMBERG

Here retroactive law was used against the accused — against all traditions and principles of British Justice. It is intended to use the same procedure to ensnare alleged 'war criminals' now living in this country

'WAR CRIMES' TRIALS FARCE REACHES BRITAIN

(Contd. from prev. page)

William Chalmers, reported to the Home Secretary. Their conclusions were to recommend that the law be changed to allow prosecution in British courts of persons who had adopted British citizenship 40-plus years ago, or who reside here, on charges of murder relating to events occurring outside the United Kingdom previous to their settlement here! In addition, the two officials urged that further



HURD

Cravenly caved in before Jewish pressure to launch investigation

investigations be made in 75 of the 300 cases drawn to their attention, and that attempts be made to trace 46 other suspects.

It is highly significant that the published report omits to mention what was the 'evidence' of these 'war crimes' on which Hetherington and Chalmers base their conclusions. We also know that these officials visited Latvia, Lithuania, Estonia, Byelorussia and the Ukraine, where the offences are alleged to have occurred, presumably to substantiate the 'evidence'. All these countries are behind the Iron Curtain and under the control of the Soviets!

THE ISSUES INVOLVED

This whole squalid business gives rise to a series of issues which will have repercussions far beyond the scope of the enquiry.

Firstly, this country, which some naive and trusting people still think of as being 'free', is clearly being pressured into submitting to law-making of a kind wholly alien to its tradition — and under coercion from outside interests. That this should be happening, and in peacetime, reveals the depths of subservience to the international Zionists to which our Westminster officials have sunk.

Secondly, we have here all the makings of another travesty of justice along the lines of the infamous Nuremberg Trials, for what is proposed is a retroactive law which runs

entirely contrary to the letter and spirit of Anglo-Saxon law. Such a law could not even be excused on the grounds that it was considered necessary to some vital British national interest, but would be drafted solely in service to the self-perceived interests of international Jewry, and for the purpose of enabling prosecution of a number of elderly men — who have led exemplary lives as law-abiding members of British society for more than 40 years — for offences that were not offences at the time they are said to have occurred and which, if they did take place, did so in territory outside of British jurisdiction and against persons who were not at the time British subjects.

Thirdly, it must be borne in mind that the 'evidence' on which proof of these alleged offences rests has been supplied by agents of international Zionism acting in close co-operation with communist sources, foremost among which is the Soviet Committee for State Security, the notorious KGB. For it has been attested by a number of authoritative organisations and individuals in various countries, including former KGB personnel, that the KGB provides much of the 'evidence' to incriminate those accused by the Zionists of 'war crimes', using the most sophisticated scientific techniques to forge and fabricate documents, and obtaining 'statements' from witnesses by employing methods they have perfected over 70 years: torture, intimidation, assassination, mass murder, drug manipulation and concentration camps.

Fourthly, nearly half a century has elapsed since the events associated with these allegations took place. Where are the witnesses still living now whose memories are so infallible, whose recall is so total and exact, that they can be relied upon to give irrefutable eye-witness accounts of such events, least of all recognise their perpetrators? And where are those to speak in defence of the accused? Very probably, they are largely dead.

Finally, it is quite clear that these accused are already guilty in the eyes of their accusers. They have even been named and cited in the media and exposed to adverse publicity. How are these men to be tried according to the due process of law in this country, where a person is presumed innocent until proved otherwise? Will 'evidence' provided by communist authorities be corroborated by independent evidence on the part of persons outside of Soviet control? Will those accused of the offences for which they are to be tried have advance access to the material being used in evidence against them? Those accused at Nuremberg did not!

WHO, AND WHAT, IS BEHIND IT ALL?

What then lies behind this recently activated replay of the 'Nazi war criminals' saga, 44 years after the end of World War II? Why were those accused not charged with such serious 'offences' nearer the time of their alleged commission? And why is this current campaign directed, as it is, mainly against Balts and Ukrainians?

Answers to these questions must be sought in the Zionist/Communist connection.

It is an established historical fact that Jewish power and influence was paramount in Soviet Russia from the time of the Revolution

onwards for at least the following four decades. Jews were the active agents accomplishing the communist transformation of Russia, with financial backing from their co-racials in the West. They were an important element in the creation and running of the *gulag* system of slave-labour camps and the wholesale slaughter of millions of people who dared to fall foul of the Soviet regime. They were also in profusion among the political commissars who infested the Soviet system of surveillance and suppression. There are some good grounds for believing, however, that Jewish power is now waning in the Soviet Union.

On the official state level, Israel is seeking desperately to restore diplomatic relations with the USSR, and a number of moves in recent times must be seen in that context. Mr. Shevardnadze, the Soviet Foreign Minister, has been engaged in talks with the Israeli Government; there has been a return by Israel of a gang of air hi-jackers to the USSR, despite the absence of any extradition treaty between the two states; Israeli hospitals have treated some of those injured in the Armenian earthquake.

International favours of this kind are not performed for nothing, particularly when Israel is the nation performing them!

No doubt, Zionism is hoping to gain some benefits from Soviet goodwill which will offset the decline in Jewish power in Russia itself. No doubt, Israel would like to court Soviet friendship as a means of facilitating further Jewish emigration from Russia, as one example. There has of course never been any real enmity between Zionism and Communism or between Israel and the USSR. Israeli President Chaim Herzog confirmed in a speech to the Israeli Communist Party convention a few years ago: "We hope that the way will be found soon to remove obstacles and build anew friendly relations between the State of Israel and the Communist World in general, and in particular with the Soviet Union. I have said on many occasions that despite profound differences of opinion regarding the conflict in the Middle East and in the matter of Soviet Jews, the State of Israel is not hostile to the Soviet Union...the pioneers of the Zionist movement drew cultural and ideological inspiration from the Soviet Union...We seek to improve relations with all communist nations."

So, could it be to further that end of "removing obstacles and building friendly relations with the Communist World that Zionists seek to ingratiate themselves with the USSR by, so to speak, killing two birds with one stone: hunting down supposed allies of the still hated National Socialist Germany of 1933-45, and collaborating with the communists in their efforts to remove all elements intransigently opposed to their system, in particular the strongest anti-communist groups in the Western World: those comprising Baltic and Ukrainian refugees?

HOME SECRETARY'S SERVILITY

The sight and sound of Home Secretary Hurd making his servile statement about the report of the war crime enquiry to the House of Puppets on the 24th July this year was

Contd. on next page

enough to turn the stomach. We were treated to the usual sanctimonious hypocrisy and liberal cant we have come to associate with this gang of meretricious charlatans when we heard Hurd's address, which gave notice of the Government's intention to hold debates in both Houses on the subject. The usual pitiable parliamentary conventions will of course be observed in these marionettes' attempt to mask the malodorous stench of rancid hatred and vengeful malice given off by this Zionist/Communist brew.

The Government is thus slithering towards complicity with communist despotism to satisfy the demands of the international Zionists, with a KGB-style show-trial, complete with tainted and doctored evidence of primed witnesses provided by a live television link with Soviet Russia, KGB-

supplied fabricated documentation for prosecution exhibits and a pre-trial climate of guilt whipped up by the mass media.

OUR DUTY

We must awaken British people's awareness and prick their consciences about what is going on in their name in this so-called 'democracy', and we must protest in the most vigorous terms to MPs, particularly the Home Secretary and Prime Minister. We must demand: No KGB trials here!

We also have a duty to give all possible support to those singled out as victims in this planned travesty of British justice. Furthermore, we should demand that, if it is the Government's policy to hound war criminals then this should apply to all war criminals, of whatever country and whatever

period in recent history. These should include those still living who committed atrocities in the Allied cause in World War II and, not least, those who have committed similar atrocities on behalf of the Zionists in the Middle East. In the latter respect, we could start with the last two Israeli Prime Ministers, Menachem Begin and Yitzhak Shamir.

So, protest to the instigators of this new inquisition and their accomplices at Westminster. Don't be fobbed off by bland replies from civil servants! Keep at the politicians with your complaints and challenges! Voice your outrage at what is being contemplated! Get your friends to do the same! And let the media know that there are still decent people in this country who believe in the ancient British traditions of law and justice!

BREAKING THE CHAINS

NOEL A. HUNT calls for an end to the idiocy of Britain's overseas aid programme

WE ARE TOLD that every cloud has a silver lining. This is certainly true of the turmoil now observable in China and the Russian Empire. At the moment these powers are deeply preoccupied with their own troubles. This gives Britain a chance to free herself from the unnecessary burden which she imposes on herself by her never-ending payments of 'overseas aid' and aid to the 'developing countries'.

Those who believe us to be basically kindly, generous and in love with 'mankind' think that overseas aid started as a selfless effort to help backward countries set up industries and create wealth for themselves, as the West once did without any help from anyone. It may be so, of course.

Others, unkindly but perhaps more realistically, point out that aid to developing countries is a result of one of the tenets of Marxism-Leninism. Marxism-Leninism is of course dedicated to creating a socialist world. To bring this about, it is necessary that there should be acts of terror and subversion, tactfully labelled 'agitation' and 'propaganda', or for short 'agitprop', in countries not yet socialist. This, says the dogma, is essential to bring about a revolution which will bequeath socialism to the country concerned.

The theory holds that, to be successful, 'agitprop' must be carried on among the 'urban proletariat', the 'toiling masses' of socialist rhetoric. It is held that, maddened by the injustices of capitalism, starving on the beggarly wages paid to the masses by the 'boss class' and inspired by 'agitprop', heroic and selfless leaders will emerge from those masses, lead a revolt and set up a socialist paradise, replete with 'social justice', 'democracy' and various other good things. The Communist Party, working through a front and under another name, will be on hand to provide funds, advice and a goon squad to discipline anyone straying from the party line. This fact is never mentioned.

But one problem is that so much of the



THE THIRD WORLD

In its orchestrated clanking of begging bowls, it has created a new musical form

world has no 'urban proletariat'. Since the dogma holds that this is an essential prerequisite for a socialist take-over, it is necessary in such cases to create one. The equation might be expressed: "No urban proletariat, no socialist revolution." Enter 'overseas aid', designed to create an urban proletariat, so that 'agitprop' can be carried on, so that a socialist revolution can follow, so that socialism can triumph. A neat example of

Marxist dialectic in action.

This explanation of the origins of 'overseas aid' has of course been vigorously disputed. There is a lot of noble rhetoric about 'social justice', the 'conscience of mankind' and other similar windy abstractions. Believers in Santa Claus, the Easter Bunny and the Tooth Fairy may find the rhetoric convincing. Realists may perhaps find the other explanation the more

Contd. overleaf

BREAKING THE CHAINS

(Contd. from prev. page)

convincing.

Be that as it may, suddenly every country in the West found the idea of overseas aid irresistible. Not only did it alleviate the liberal itch to 'do good' with someone else's money, it presented wonderful opportunities for the emission of clouds of cant and hypocrisy. This aspect made British and American opinion-formers and politicians very happy. It still does.

BONANZA

To the non-industrialised countries, overseas aid was an unbelievable bonanza. It also had the bonus of providing convincing proof that the West had taken leave of its senses. It made vast amounts of money available without any control, any system of audit or any penalty for misuse of the money provided.

One result of this cornucopia of cash was that any functionary of the ruling gangster clique in the recipient country with any pretensions to importance had his numbered Swiss bank account. All his relatives had well-paid jobs as 'experts' and 'advisers', all paid for from abroad, and of course their own numbered accounts too. Quite unnecessary airlines, sports stadia, conference centres and broad avenues leading nowhere were the order of the day in these developing countries.

It is true that there was no great upsurge of industry as a result of all this expenditure. It is true too that both famine and the number of refugees leaving the developing countries seemed greater than ever. However, each developing country had a Ministry of information, well staffed of course and funded from the West, only too ready to explain that if the West would only provide yet more money all would be well.

In the West, apart from making widely available the intoxicating delights of cant and hypocrisy, and of providing numerous opportunities for displaying moral fervour, the overseas aid programme brought other practical advantages. Hundreds of lovely non-jobs, all well-paid and pensionable, were created. Then too there was a constant round of conferences on every subject known to man, all held in exotic places where enjoyable holidays could be spent. The final act of each such conference has been to recommend that another be held shortly, and that more funds be made available "as a matter of urgency." Like a snowball rolling downhill, the aid industry has grown and grown.

DEFINITION OF A 'DEVELOPING COUNTRY'

It did not take the 'developing countries' long to realise that the West actually believed all the codswallop it talked about help to the 'less fortunate'. Developing countries are always, by definition 'less fortunate'. Never, ever, are they less competent, less intelligent or less industrious, just 'less fortunate'.

From this it was a short step to realising that the West could be blackmailed. This could be done by threatening that, if the developing countries' demands were not met instantly,

they would turn to China or to Russia for assistance. So the West paid up, and without imposing a single condition on the recipient. It would of course have been unthinkable 'elitist' and 'insensitive' even to hint that in the real world the debtor does as the creditor tells him. The West provides aid without strings. Russia and China definitely do not.

A glance at some of the results of all this overseas aid may be instructive. India became a republic in the late 1950s. Every year since then she has received enormous amounts of aid from Britain and America. After 30 years of this she still needs help to 'develop'. One may justifiably wonder if she ever will. In those 30 years India has become the world's biggest and most festering example of mass corruption and sheer ineptitude. And all this without her ever ceasing to address moralistic lectures to the West while demanding more and ever more money!

It seems reasonable to believe that if India, Ghana, Zambia and the rest have not yet developed, after all these years of aid, they never will. Yet never do we hear any suggestion that the aid should cease; far from it.

In Latin America the picture is the same. Countless millions have vanished down the bottomless well of greedy and corrupt comic opera dictatorships. Life grows ever more short and brutish for the very people — the 'masses' — whom the aid is intended to help.

IGNORING REALITY

It is true that by its asinine insistence on 'democracy' in continents with no tradition of democratic rule, and by its failure to tie conditions to grants, the West is merely paying the deserved price for ignoring reality. Cant, hypocrisy, a rigid refusal to see the unpalatable facts and to acknowledge unfashionable truths are all expensive, as we have found.

The African too has learned from the rest of the 'developing' world how to blackmail the West. Throughout his unhappy continent grubby little Marxist-Leninist gangsters grow ever richer while their people grow ever poorer.

Seeking desperately for something kind to say about overseas aid, one can perhaps note that the 'Third World' has created from it a new musical form: the orchestrated clanking of begging bowls.

The troubles in China and Russia give us a chance to break the chains of foreign aid and of our enslavement to the world's incompetents.

Both China and Russia are engaged in the delicate exercise of altering their systems of government so that they can blend in to the West without too much difficulty when the New International Economic Order is finally set up, as soon it will be. This is a necessary preliminary to the establishment of the world government, the long term goal of those who control us. This fact is never mentioned in the media or by our politicians, of course.

But this is for the future. For the moment, we have a heaven-sent opportunity to bring the Third World to its senses. We should forthwith cease all aid payments, except where these are tied to reciprocal favours in return. At the same time we should sack most of the huge armies of 'workers' in the aid industry. When the former recipients of aid threaten to

appeal to China and to Russia they should be advised to do just that. They will receive a dusty answer. The rulers of both countries are far too busy with more important matters at home to pay any attention. In addition, they are both trying to save money.

It is perfectly possible for us to withdraw this aid. Only the resolution to make the decision is lacking. It would make available for the politicians to play with a huge portion of our gross national product. It would increase our world standing dramatically, as it would begin to sink in that the White Man had at last come to his senses.

RECOVERING THE NATIONAL CHARACTER

(Contd. from page 7)

way involve encouraging them to 'hate' those who are non-British and non-white; pride in one's own kind should incorporate a respect for those of other kinds and of their wish to preserve their identities. But this respect for other kinds should not be taken to such extremes that, where the latter threaten our own racial survival, we should not act vigorously in racial self-defence.


This latter point gets close to the heart of our problem, for perhaps the greatest single symptom of our contemporary feeble-mindedness as a nation is that our animal survival instinct has become so atrophied that millions of us recoil from the measures that are elementary to racial self-defence, while yet more millions do not even have the instinct to regard such racial self-defence as desirable.

A huge job of mental surgery has to be performed upon the British people before we can again have before us a nation which even recognises what should be the ultimate priorities of politics and government. The job involves the restoration of that sturdy national character that enabled us to come through the turbulent trials and dangers of our long history, first against one European adversary after another and later in the gigantic outward thrust across the oceans in the quest for new world and empire.

The task will not be accomplished by weak prescriptions nor by appeals to weak minds and hearts.

APOLOGIES

Last month we published a letter sent by our Editor to the *Yorkshire Post* concerning the Dewsbury disturbances in June, with the statement that the *Yorkshire Post* has refused to print the letter. This was based on the fact that our contacts in Yorkshire had not seen it and that the *Yorkshire Post* never acknowledged receipt of the letter. We now learn that the letter was in fact printed in part. We apologise to the *Yorkshire Post* for this error, which could have been avoided if the paper had acknowledged the letter.



SIR: You may be aware that two bills are currently being prepared for the French National Assembly which would make it illegal to dispute the 'holocaust'. We know there are people who would like to see the same type of legislation here.

What is the establishment so afraid of? If historical revisionism is so obviously untrue, why not prove it so by facts and argument — instead of trying to ban it by law?

G. KEMP
Chichester, West Sussex

SIR: I write regarding the excellent article by Dick Cardmore, 'Ending the Gender War', which appeared in the August issue.

Mr. Cardmore does an excellent job in outlining the sickness of liberal society, and in his final perception that we need to let nature reassert itself. I would, however, like to put forward two points for debate by *Spearhead* readers, which have been inspired by the article.

Firstly, there is the issue of homosexuality. I would disagree with Mr. Cardmore when he says how easy it is to 'become' a homosexual, merely through the lack of a good moral example. While abnormal family situations, propaganda in schools, lack of self-discipline and a dearth of good moral examples to follow all undoubtedly contribute to the spread of the cult, they are not basic causes of homosexuality. Whether this cause is a genetic flaw or a chemical imbalance (the latest scientific consensus tends to point to the latter) is at the moment an irrelevancy. What does matter is that homosexual tendencies are something that most sufferers are born with. Medicine should eventually enable us to identify this at the foetal stage. When this becomes possible, there would be strong grounds for a compulsory abortion. After all, how many racially normal and healthy men can countenance the prospect of homosexuality, no matter how much the liberal establishment may seek to persuade us otherwise?

In the meantime, I would advocate a policy whereby all homosexuals should be segregated from the rest of the population and put into camps, where they would have to work for their keep. If nothing else, this would help to minimise the spread of AIDS. Homosexual sex itself should be made a capital offence. Some would regard this as 'extreme', even 'heartless', but we should not forget the evil that this community has inflicted on the nation in the form of AIDS.

Secondly, the crisis that we will face in the numerical sense within our race upon our assumption of power will be far greater than most imagine. Accordingly, we should surely set childbearing quotas for all those racially suitable women capable of bearing children until conditions improve. Furthermore, marriages that are likely to produce a genetic mix unfavourable to the advance of our race and nation should be forbidden by the full force of the law.

A. BERESFORD
Portknockie, Banff

SIR: It is not often that I have seen fit to disagree with statements in articles in *Spearhead*, but for the first time in 15 years I have felt compelled to put pen to paper in objection to something written. This is in respect of Dick Cardmore's assertion that all handicapped babies should be aborted. There can be no justification for killing an innocent Aryan when we are able to develop the technology to overcome such handicaps. I sincerely believe that there is no handicap so severe as to be unassailable by the Aryan's ability to invent and heal.

None of our children should ever die without the complete resources of the state fighting wholeheartedly to preserve his or her life.

No-one who already has the gift of life has the right to climb on a pedestal and dictate which innocent Aryan shall live and which shall die.

Though these infants may never be allowed to breed (if able) as adults, they nevertheless have the right to life themselves.

S. HERNON
Buckhurst Hill, Essex

SIR: With reference to my article in the August issue, 'Ending the Gender War', I would like to contribute an addendum.

I noticed to my chagrin during my perusal of the printed article that I had not listed one of the chief reasons for inter-racial marriage. As everyone knows, out-marriages are usually

committed by women of our race — it is far rarer that a white man out-marries (my theory concerning this is that it is because men have a more highly developed aesthetic sense than women). Anyway, many ethnic 'out-group' members are wealthy and powerful. Women admire wealth and power, presuming (often wrongly) that these things are indicative of strength. Women also desire a high material standard of living more than do men. These are very powerful impelling factors in out-marriages. This is also probably a good explanation for the fact that many white nationalists are unmarried: with so much of their time and money committed to the movement, they are less in a position to make money and acculate wealth and the status symbols that go with it.

I should like to state that I am not saying all this as a misogynist. I myself am engaged to an excellent woman, and know that not all women are as shallowly materialistic as indicated by what I have said above. I still think, however, that the generality of my theory is valid.

DICK CARDMORE
Ilford, Essex

SIR: I write to you in the hope that your magazine will highlight an injustice being done to the loyal people of Ulster.

In recent correspondence with the Director of the Ulster-American Heritage Foundation David McCalden, I have ascertained that the flag erected to the memory of the Ulstermen who died at the Battle of the Alamo is an Irish Republican Tricolour and not, more appropriately, the Union Jack or the flag of Ulster — even though the Irish Republic was not created, and its flag did not thereby become official, until nearly a century after the battle.

I would be grateful if *Spearhead* would point out this outrage to its readers so that letters of protest can be sent to the Alamo Museum, San Antonio, Texas 78205, USA.

R. QUINN
Belfast

How to obtain SPEARHEAD

Spearhead is available from our office to those who wish to ensure to obtain copies for themselves every month and to those who wish to obtain quantities for distribution. Those wishing for copies for themselves each month are recommended to take out a subscription by filling in the form below and sending it to us with a cheque or postal order for the applicable sum.

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick in box where applicable):-

British Isles: £10.00 ☐ Overseas unsealed surface mail: £11.66 ☐ Overseas sealed surface mail: £14.20 ☐ Unsealed air mail Middle East: £15.28 ☐ Unsealed air mail Canada, USA, Latin America, Africa: £16.40 ☐ Unsealed air mail Australia, New Zealand, Far East: £18.38 ☐

Please note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling; if remittance is in overseas currency, an additional charge of £5.50 is required in the case of cheques and money orders and £2 in the case of banknotes, these going to pay banker's commission and charges.

Discounts for bulk-purchases can be obtained as follows:-

10-19 copies: 48p each; 20-49 copies: 43p each; 50-99 copies: 36p each; 100-199 copies: 31p each; 200-499 copies: 26p each; 500 copies or over: 24p each (For advice on postal rates, please contact our office).

All cheques and postal or money orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please do not include a payment for *Spearhead* as part of a remittance including payment for other items.

BOOKS



B.N.P. BOOK SERVICE
154 UPPER WICKHAM LANE, WELLING, KENT DA16 3DP (Tel. 01 316 4721)
OPEN TUESDAYS TO FRIDAYS 10 a.m TO 5.30 p.m.

THE ELEVENTH HOUR (John Tyndall) £15 hardback; £10 paperback. Mostly written by the BNP leader in prison, this is the book all nationalists have been waiting for. The most comprehensive presentation yet to appear of the case for British Nationalism. 1988, 609pp.

THE NEW UNHAPPY LORDS (A.K. Chesterton) £3.00. An exposure of the financial power that seeks to drag mankind into a global police state. 1972, 255pp.

THE ZIONIST FACTOR* (Ivor Benson) £6.50. A study of the Jewish presence in 20th century history. 1986, 216pp.

THE BIOLOGY OF THE RACE PROBLEM (Prof. W.C. George) £1.00. The race equality hoax destroyed by an academic expert. 70pp.

DID SIX MILLION REALLY DIE? (Richard Harwood) 50p. The fact-filled pamphlet that refutes the holocaust legends and has evoked Zionist frenzy. 28pp.

THE HOAX OF THE TWENTIETH CENTURY (Prof. A.R. Butz) £2.40. A scientist applies clinical methods to an investigation of the 'holocaust' and completely dissects the myth. 2nd edition 1977, 315pp.

THE MONEY BOMB (James Gibb Stewart) £4.25. Discusses the failure of our debt-based financial system and describes its role in creating inflation. 1983, 158pp.

THE USES OF RELIGION (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £1.00. Examines the value of religion as a socially cohesive force. 1982, 36pp.

RACE AND POLITICS (H.B. Isherwood) 50p. Shows that a sense of racial identity is an essential element of nationhood. 1974, 36pp.

RELIGION AND THE RACIAL CONTROVERSY (H.B. Isherwood) 50p. Shows that racial integration is not ordained by Christianity but that the reverse is true. 1970, 16pp.

THE LEMMING FOLK (James Gibb Stewart) £7.95. A witty and factual examination of current attitudes and intellectual fashion that are threatening our future. 1980, 246pp.

THE GRAND DESIGN (Douglas Reed) £2.25. A behind-the-scenes look at modern world history. 1977, 45pp.

THE DISPOSSESSED MAJORITY (Wilmot Robertson) £7.50. This book has attracted worldwide attention, dealing as it does with racial problems in America — and not just simply the black-white situation. 2nd edition 1981, 613pp.

RACE AND REASON (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A plain, unemotional account of the race issue that has been an invaluable contribution to the debate ever since it was first published. 1961 (rep. 1977), 125pp.

RACE AND REALITY (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A companion volume and supplement to *Race and Reason*, showing how the truth about the race issue has been suppressed by interested parties. 1967 (rep. 1977), 192pp.

VENTILATIONS (Wilmot Robertson) £4.50. The sequel to the same author's widely acclaimed *The Dispossessed Majority*. Revised edition 1982, 113pp.

THE GLOBAL MANIPULATORS (Robert Eringer) £2.50. While the author repudiates any conspiratorial view of world events, he still provides a comprehensive exposure of the organisation and influence of the Bilderberg Group and the Trilateral Commission. 1980, 95pp.

WHAT 1992 REALLY MEANS (Dr. Brian Burkitt & Mark Baimbridge) £1.50. Two academic economists show the dangers to Britain from involvement in the single European market. A new booklet packed with facts and figures. 1989, 44pp.

THE FORCED WAR (David L. Hoggan) £21.00. The book which revisionists have been awaiting for years. Described by Harry Elmer Barnes as "...the first thorough study of the responsibility for the causes of the Second World War...the definitive revisionist work on this subject." 1989, 732pp.

THE ZIONIST CONNECTION (Alfred M. Lilienthal) £13.00. The second edition of a sensational exposure by a non-Zionist Jew of Zionist power politics. 1982, 904pp.

TREASON AT WESTMINSTER (Dr. Kitty Little) 50p. Text of a memorandum to the Royal Commission on Criminal Procedure entitled: *Infiltration of the government by members of subversive or criminal organisations for the purpose of furthering the interests of those organisations.* 1979, 24pp.

A WORLD COUP D'ETAT IS PLANNED (Dr. Kitty Little) 50p. Updates the above, giving details of the destructive effects of treaties foisted on Britain. 1984,

Here is the latest list of our books. The prices given in each case do not include postal charges, which should be estimated by calculating one eighth the total value of the order. Money should be enclosed with all orders, and all cheques or postal orders should be made out to the BNP Book Service only and not included in remittances sent for other items. Orders are likely to be dealt with more quickly if BNP Book Service is marked on the envelope. New titles are indicated by asterisks.

66p.

THEY DARE TO SPEAK OUT* (Paul Findley) £12.95. The author was an Illinois Congressman for 20 years until he fell foul of the Israeli lobby. This book describes the stranglehold of Zionist power over American politicians, academics and media. Even those familiar with the subject will find this book a revelation. 1985, 362pp.

THE ZIONIST TERROR NETWORK. £2.00. A 12-page report on the murderous activities in the United States of the Jewish Defence League, compiled by the staff of the Institute for Historical Review.

RACE (J.R. Baker) £7.60. The author, a professional zoologist for 50 years, provides an objective and scholarly account of what race means and how the concept has affected human thinking. A specialist book, but one of great importance. 1974, 625pp.

THE LEUCHTER REPORT* (Fred A. Leuchter) £7.75. The evidence on 'gas chambers' by an American engineer that rocked the Zundel trial. Leuchter, in effect, testified that such installations could not have existed in German-occupied Europe before or during 1939-45. 1988, 66pp.

SPECTRE OF POWER* (Malcolm Ross) £6.00. The campaigning Canadian schoolteacher describes the vendetta against him by a powerful minority. 1987, 165pp.

VICTIM OF THE HOLOCAUST* (Hans Peter Rullman) £3.50. The story of the framing of John Demjanjuk. 1987, 78pp.

THE COLLAPSE OF BRITISH POWER (Correlli Barnett) £9.95. A welcome reissue of a vitally important book first published in 1972. Shows how liberalism and lack of political realism brought about Britain's 20th century decline in the political, industrial and military fields. 643pp.

THE ZUNDEL TRIAL AND FREE SPEECH (Doug Christie) £2.25. The defence counsel's address to the jury in the infamous trial in Canada of Ernst Zundel for daring to question the holocaust myth. A ringing defence of free enquiry and free speech. 1985, 32pp.

THE BEST OF ATTACK AND NATIONAL VANGUARD. £12.00. A selection of hard-hitting nationalist articles published between 1970 and 1982 in two uncompromising US magazines. 217 large pages.

STATE SECRETS (Count Leon de Poncins) £3.50. A study of some little-known state documents that throw much light on recent history. 1975, 191pp.

CENSORED HISTORY (Eric Butler) £1.50. An examination of some of the facts of recent history that have not found their way into textbooks or newspapers. 1974, 48pp.

THE CONTROVERSY OF ZION (Douglas Reed) £9.00. A best-seller in nationalist circles ever since its publication. A study of Jewish-Gentile relations since biblical times, packed with little-known and long-suppressed facts. 1979, 580pp.

THE NAMELESS WAR (Capt. A.H.M. Ramsay) £3.25. An outline of the secret history of the events leading up to the Second World War, including information on previous upheavals. The author had the honour of being imprisoned without trial for four years during World War II, despite his status as a member of parliament. Originally published 1952, 128pp.

THE SIX MILLION RECONSIDERED (W. Grimsdall) £2.50. Examines not only the 'holocaust' but other topics involved in the Jewish Question, including the exploitation of the 'anti-semitic' smear. 1977, 170pp.

RACIAL KINSHIP (H.B. Isherwood) 50p. A further well argued presentation of the case for 'racism' by the author of *Race and Politics*. 1974, 36pp.

CONSPIRACY OR DEGENERACY? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. Text of a lecture by the author, a brilliant classical scholar, to New England rally for God, Family and Country in 1966. 76pp.

AMERICA'S DECLINE: THE EDUCATION OF A CONSERVATIVE (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £3.50. A collection of articles and reviews on various aspects of the collapse of modern civilisation. 1981, 375pp.

RED PATTERN OF WORLD CONQUEST* (Eric Butler) £3.75. A distinguished Australian patriot shows that the advance of communism has been assisted by the weakness and treachery of western leaders. New ed. 1985, 115pp.

BEHIND THE SCENE* (Douglas Reed) £3.50. A reprint of the second part of the author's *Far and Wide*, published in 1953. The new themes are titled 'Zionism Paramount' and 'Communism Penetrant'. 93pp.

THOUGHT CRIMES: THE KEEGSTRA CASE* (Doug Christie) £2.40. The text of Doug Christie's courtroom defence of the persecuted Canadian school-teacher Jim Keegstra. 1986, 34pp.

POPULISM AND ELITISM (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. A study of the purpose and function of political power and the elements which wield it in the present century. 1982, 101pp.

THE ENEMY OF EUROPE (Francis Parker Yockey & Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £6.00. Thought-provoking essay on the powers set on destroying the European peoples, written by Yockey and accompanied by a review of Yockey's work by Prof. Oliver. 1981, 240pp.

I.Q. AND RACIAL DIFFERENCES (Prof. Henry Garrett) £1.00. Clear and concise summary of the evidence of racial differences in intelligence and their significance in education. 1980, 57pp.

THE UNHOLY ALLIANCE (Patrick Walsh) £2.25. A former Canadian undercover police officer exposes the dirty tricks employed by Zionists and Communists to misdirect and destroy patriotic groups and to suppress free enquiry. 1986, 34pp.

IS THERE INTELLIGENT LIFE ON EARTH? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. An entertaining survey of modern fallacies and their charlatan promoters. 1983, 94pp.

THE GREAT HOLOCAUST TRIAL (Michael A. Hoffmann II) £4.00. Extremely comprehensive and well written report on the infamous trial of Ernst Zundel in Canada, also covered in *The Zundel Trial and Free Speech*. 1985, 95pp.

OUT! U.K. IN E.E.C. SPELLS DISASTER (Oliver Smedley) £5.00. The political and economic consequences of Britain's membership of the Common Market. 1986, 79pp.

BOUND TO FAIL (Sundry authors) £2.00. A series of articles by various experts covering every aspect of Britain's disastrous membership of the EEC. 1987, 62pp.

TRUTH OUT OF AFRICA (Ivor Benson) £3.60. Developments in Africa, particularly 'Zimbabwe', since 1960, set against a background of the forces at work in the world as a whole. 2nd ed. 1984, 112pp.

TRADITIONALISTS' ANTHOLOGY (Compiled by Elizabeth Lady Freeman) £9.00. A fascinating collection of quotations from a wide variety of writers, many of which are of direct relevance to the nationalist cause. 1986, 185pp.

CHURCHILL'S WAR (David Irving) £16.95. The highly controversial story of Britain's World War II leader during the late pre-war and wartime years. This book was shunned by all the 'establishment' publishers on account of its startling disclosures. Churchill-worshippers and believers in the 'orthodox' version of modern history will not like this book, as it describes its central character as the man who ruined Britain and the British Empire in his insane vendetta against Hitler. 1987, 591pp.

GAY LESSONS (Rachel Tingle) £2.50. A topical exposure of the manner in which homosexuality is encouraged among young people by official bodies at public expense. 1986, 48pp.

THE MURDER OF BRITISH INDUSTRY (John Boyd) 75p. An exposure of the catastrophic economic and industrial effects of Britain's membership of the EEC. 1987, 16pp.

THIS AGE OF CONFLICT (Ivor Benson) £2.00. An exposure of the illegitimate power-structures that exist in today's world. 1987, 61pp.

THE ELITE (Barbara Cole) £8.75. The exciting story of the Rhodesian Special Air Service and its campaign against terrorism. 1984, 449pp.

THE BARNES TRILOGY (Harry Elmer Barnes) £2.75. A combined volume containing this famous American revisionist's pamphlets: *Court Historians versus Revisionism*; *Blasting the Historical Blackout*; and *Revisionism and Brainwashing*. These first

appeared in 1952, 1963 and 1963 respectively, and this combined edition is dated 1979, with 133pp.

IS THE DIARY OF ANNE FRANK GENUINE? (Robert Faurisson) £2.75. Reprinted from *The Journal of Historical Review*, this is a critical commentary on an emotive historical document. 1982, 62pp.

THE BABYLONIAN WOE (David Astle) £17.00. A

study of the origin of certain financial practices and their effects on the events of ancient history written in the light of the present day. 1975, 250pp.

THE NAKED CAPITALIST (W. Cleon Skousen) £4.80. A study of the political power and ambitions of America's and the world's financial capitalists and of their link-up with communism. 1970, 144pp.

PUBLICITY MATERIAL

FROM B.N.P. HEADQUARTERS
(Orders with cash to: PO Box 117,
Welling, Kent DA16 3DW)

BNP Statement of Policy

A resume of the main political objectives of the British National Party. 24p post-free.

BNP Election Manifesto 1983

Booklet outlining the policies on which the British National Party fought the 1983 general election. Entitled *Vote for Britain* (23pp). 44p post-free.

BNP Badges

British National Party badges with logo in red, white and blue. Price: £1.25 post-free.

BNP key rings

Key rings with attachment bearing BNP logo in red, white and blue. £1.75 post-free.

BNP cloth logo

BNP logo in cloth, also in red, white and blue. Suitable for sewing onto anoraks, etc. £1.50 post-free.

BNP leaflets (two-sided)

How to spot a red teacher. Updated reprint of an old nationalist leaflet aimed especially at school students and giving advice on how to combat left-wing brainwashing in the classroom.

The great Tory con-trick. Leaflet exposing the way the Tories are currently trying to woo the people with patriotic and 'right-wing' slogans, while their underlying policies are leading to the destruction of Britain.

Unite with your friends or perish! Leaflet appealing to loyalist Ulster people to join forces with their supporters on the mainland. Lists BNP policies for Northern Ireland.

These leaflets cost £6.00 per 1,000 with postage charges of £2.60 for 1,000 and £3.00 for 2,000.

BNP leaflets (one-sided)

Have you been thrown on the scrapheap by foreign imports? This leaflet deals with unemployment and explains in simple terms how vast numbers of British jobs are being destroyed by the international free-trade policies of successive governments. A brief and concise argument for economic nationalism.

Violent crime in Britain: the horrifying truth. Deals with muggings, rapes and other violent attacks on defenceless people, giving examples of specific cases. Calls for much tougher treatment of violent criminals.

Hang child murderers! Very powerful leaflet calling for the death penalty for the killers of little children.

If we were black... Reprint, updated, of a previous BNP leaflet, drawing attention to special favours and privileges granted to ethnic minority groups by national and local government, and calling on Whites — particularly the young — to fight for their rights.

Immigration: the time to say STOP! Leaflet drawing attention to the still large numbers of immigrants coming into Britain and calling for repatriation.

Multi-racial Britain: the experiment that failed. Help us end it! Collage of riot photos.

Derelict Britain. Leaflet with photo exhibiting rotting urban landscape, calling upon people to help fight against the politicians who have brought this about. Mentions unemployment, bad housing, immigration, crime, etc.

Stand by Ulster! Leaflet supporting loyalists in Northern Ireland and listing BNP policies for the province.

These leaflets cost £4.50 per 1,000 with postage charges of £2.60 for 1,000 and £3.00 for 2,000.

BNP posters

Multi-racial Britain: the experiment that failed. Help us end it! Collage of riot photos.

Oppose the disarmers! Build up our forces! Make Britain strong! Photo of Royal Marine in action with

machine gun.

She freezes in winter while Third World gets £1,000 million a year. Put British people before aliens! Photo of old lady by unlit fire.

Support Ulster: smash terrorism. Hang IRA murderers! Drawing of man holding hangman's rope with wife and youngster and flag in background.

Protect British jobs: ban imports! Special unemployment poster with photo of Japanese cars coming off boat.

Protect our women and old folk: stamp out muggers! Drawing of mugging gang.

What's happened to free speech? Update of poster first produced in 1986 showing how the state is trying to silence those who oppose the alien invasion of Britain. Drawing of white man with gag.

Support White South Africa. This slogan in large white letters on blue background.

These posters measure 12.6in x 17.7in. Each contains the BNP name and address and logo in red, white and blue. Prices: 1-9 at 12p each; 10-19 at 10p each; 20-49 at 8p each; 50 or over at 6p each. Postage should be estimated on the basis of one poster weighing 10g.

BNP stickers

With slogans:-

Fight subversion: smash communism!

Put British people before aliens! (same as poster)

Start repatriation!

Make Britain strong! (same as poster)

Ban imports! (same as poster)

Stamp out muggers! (same as poster)

Hang IRA murderers! (same as poster)

Protect our young from child murderers: bring back the rope!

Scrap the Anglo-Irish Agreement: Keep Ulster British!

Love the White Race: protect its future!

Abortion is child murder: make it illegal!

Protect us from AIDS: outlaw homosexuality!

Stickers measure 3.5in x 2.5in and are available in gummed-backed or self-adhesive form, each containing BNP name and address and logo in red, white and blue. Gummed-back stickers cost £4.00 per 1,000 or 40p per 100, self-adhesive £1.50 per 100. Postage costs 26p per 100.



THE B.N.P. SHOP AT WELLING
A selection of items for sale

BNP recordings

Rally '87. 2½-hour video-recording of BNP London rally, October 31st 1987. Hear and see speeches by Ronald Rickcord, Ian Sloan, Gus McLeod, Dr. Peter

Peel, Richard Edmonds, Stanley Clayton-Garnett, David Bruce and John Tyndall.

Price: £15 plus 64p p&p.

Rally '82. Sound recording of BNP rally in London, October 16th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'

Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker.

Side 2: Speech by John Tyndall.

Tyndall Speaks I: Two studio talks:-

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the worldwide dispersion of the peoples of British stock).

Side 2: Talk on Britain's economic crisis' (recorded in 1981).

Tyndall Speaks II: Two studio talks:-

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished).

Side 2: Talk on 'Tragedy of the 20th century' (analysis of World War II).

Tyndall Speaks III: Two studio talks:-

Side 1: Talk on 'Why we must repatriate'.

Side 2: Talk on 'Foundations of the national community' (discourse on racial nationalism and its concepts of government and citizenship).

Tyndall Speaks IV: Two studio talks:-

Side 1: Talk on 'The way to full employment'.

Side 2: Talk on 'The racial time-bomb' (A thorough demolition of the multi-racialist point of view and a warning of the dire consequences facing Britain if the multi-racial experiment is not ended).

Cassette recordings available at £3.50 plus 26p p&p.

FROM NORWICH BRANCH B.N.P.
(Orders with cash to 9 Johnson Place,
Norwich NR2 2SA)

BNP beer mats with large red, white and blue party logo and party name and address in blue on white background. Ideal recruitment aid for leaving in pubs or for nationalist socials.

Samples for £1 or packs at: £5/£10/£20 (incl. postage)

BNP notepads with party logo printed in red, white and blue on each sheet. 1 notepad: £1 plus 26p post; 4 notepads: £4 plus 90p post.

BNP ball pens (mixed). All stamped with party name: 25 for £5.

BNP pencils (mixed). All stamped with party name: 30 for £5.

White china coffee mugs with famous red, white and blue BNP logo on front and back. The mugs have a half-pint capacity, and fully washable and British-made.

1-5 mugs at £2.25 each; 6-15 mugs at £2.00 each; 16-plus mugs at £1.75 each. Price does not include postage — please return postage cost on receipt of your parcel.

* * *

Candour

British views letter, founded by A.K. Chesterton to defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance. Subscription: £6.00 per year. Obtainable from: Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants. GU33 7DD.

Behind the News

Highly informative newsletter, edited by Ivor Benson. Enquiries from UK, Europe or Australasia to: PO Box 29, Sudbury, Suffolk CO10 6EF. From North America to: PO Box 130, Flesherton, Ontario N0C 1E0, Canada. From South Africa to: PO Box 1564, Krugersdorp 1740. *Behind the News* is a must for your reading table.

The Truth at Last

Hard-hitting paper for American and other white race patriots. Sample copy for £1. Write to: PO Box 1211, Marietta, Georgia 30061, U.S.A.

NEW BUILDING FUND: £2,557 NEEDED!

With this month's issue about a week late and last month's prepared two weeks early, some seven weeks have elapsed since we last reported on the New Building Fund. In that time £382 has been raised.

The Fund has been launched to raise money to equip and fortify the new premises recently opened up by the British National Party in Welling, Kent.

The Fund has a target of £5,000, and so there is still a good way to go if we are to raise this total. Please mark all donations 'New Building Fund' and post them to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

£5,000

£4,000

£3,000

£2,000

£1,000



Debt honoured

In our issue for July 1987 we published a report of a debt of £76.66 owing to *Spearhead* by the Veritas Bookshop of Pretoria, South Africa, owned and managed by Mrs. Katherine Heusler-Vos.

We would like to inform readers that Mrs. Heusler-Vos has now repaid this debt, which resulted from great financial difficulties being experienced by the bookshop at the time. We sympathise with Mrs. Heusler-Vos in these difficulties, though had we received some communication from Veritas Bookshop at the time explaining the situation we would not have felt compelled to have reported the matter in our columns. At any rate, we thank Mrs. Heusler-Vos for her action in settling the debt in question.

GLASGOW WIN FOOTBALL TROPHY

THE annual BNP five-a-side football competition was held at Loughton in late August, and the finals were played out between Glasgow, Epping Forest, NE London and Liverpool. They were organised on a league basis.

The first match was between Glasgow and NE London. After a very even first half, Glasgow got on top and ran out winners by 6-2 after a goal rush in the last five minutes.

This was followed by a close match between Epping Forest and Liverpool, with the final score 5-3 to Liverpool.

The next match would have had the good players in the Liverpool branch who could not make it on the day tearing their hair out, as NE London demolished the Merseysiders 12-3!

There then followed another high-scoring game in which Glasgow thrashed Epping Forest 10-2.

At this point it must be recorded that Gus McLeod scored a hat-trick in this match, and for all those units planning to invite Gus to address their meetings let them be warned that a large part of any speech Gus is likely to make



WINNERS!

Gus McLeod and members of Glasgow BNP celebrate with trophy. Isobel Hernon (herself an ex-Glaswegian) is on the right

will probably include details of the aforesaid hat-trick! Gus has also let it be known that should Glasgow Rangers require his services they only have to call! He has also indicated that should Rangers decide not to avail themselves of his services he will not sign for Celtic but will continue his support for

Rangers!

The next match, between NE London and Epping Forest, resulted in a 7-3 victory for NE London.

The last match was between Liverpool and Glasgow, and ended in another victory for Glasgow, this time by 3-1. This meant that Glasgow BNP, by winning all their matches, take the party trophy to Scotland. As the holders, they will have the right to defend their trophy in Scotland next year, and Gus McLeod has made it clear that they will not let it go easily. The main challenge will again come from London, Epping and Liverpool — unless of course areas like Birmingham, Leicester and Manchester can find teams to challenge them! Our thanks go to all who took part, and to Isobel Hernon for organising light refreshments during the competition and presenting the cup on the day. There will of course be a second presentation by John Tyndall at the annual rally, when Gus McLeod can publicly issue a challenge to all our units: "We've got the cup — let's see you take it off us!"

The day ended with everyone having a drink together before getting some well-earned sleep. While issuing thanks, we must not forget the local Epping Forest members who put up the visitors for the night.

REPORT BY DAVID BRUCE

British Nationalist

British Nationalist is a tabloid published in support of the British National Party, normally on a monthly basis. Sample copies will be sent on receipt of 39p (covering p&p). Subscription for 12 issues is £5.00 (British Isles) or £6.60 (overseas surface mail). Bulk rates are as follows:-

10 copies	£2.50	+ 65p post
25 copies	£5.50	+ £2.10 post
50 copies	£10.00	+ £2.60 post
100 copies	£17.50	+ £3.00 post
150 copies	£25.00	+ £3.45 post
200 copies	£30.00	+ £3.85 post
300 copies	£42.00	+ £5.00 post
400 copies	£55.00	+ £5.00 post
500 copies	£65.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies	£125.00) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid confusion delay.

ANNUAL PARTY RALLY

CENTRAL LONDON

Saturday, October 14th, 2.30 p.m.

SPEAKERS:-

John Tyndall, David Bruce, Richard Edmonds & Others

Assemble for redirection: Outside Friends House, Euston Road, 1-2 p.m.

A social gathering will be held after the rally, in the evening. This will be announced at the end of the rally

All nationalists, including non-BNP members, will be welcome

Bradford rally hits headlines

THE BRADFORD RALLY held by the British National Party on September 23rd was another massive success — from the standpoint of publicity.

The event received huge TV, radio and press coverage in Yorkshire, and also was mentioned nationwide on radio and in three Sunday papers. So once again the BNP hit the headlines, as often previously this year.

The event also attracted considerable local interest, and some hundreds of people assembled at a rendezvous in the Eccleshill district of the city, hoping to be redirected to the meeting venue. This was not possible,

however, as the police, no doubt in collusion with the Home Office, refused to disclose the location of the place they had selected for the rally until they escorted the BNP contingent there at the last moment! The police insisted the rally be limited to half an hour, thus effectively making it impossible for the general public to attend it.

This latest episode illustrating the true nature of Mrs. Thatcher's 'free' Britain is the subject of an official complaint by the party to the Chief Constable of West Yorkshire.

A fuller report of the rally will appear in October's *British Nationalist*.



The rally: John Tyndall speaks



After the rally: John Tyndall does TV interview

SUPPORT FUND

Income from sales of our magazine is not enough to cover production and administrative costs. We therefore rely on regular donations from our supporters.

We are at present launching a special appeal to raise money to purchase new typesetting equipment to replace our now elderly and not always reliable machinery. We hope, however, that this appeal will not lead readers to forget our regular Support Fund, which needs contributions, as always.

Please send all monies to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please note that receipts are not normally sent for donations of less than £10 unless specifically requested, in which case an SAE would be appreciated.

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the main units:-

NORTH WEST LONDON
BM Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX

EAST LONDON
PO Box 300, Emma Street, Hackney
London E2 7BZ

SOUTH LONDON
PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

WEST KENT
PO Box 48, Tonbridge TN11 9JF

EAST KENT
73 Shirkoak Park, Woodchurch, Ashford

EPPING FOREST
PO Box 12, Loughton, Essex IG10 3BU

SUFFOLK
2 Albert Road, Framlingham

NORFOLK
9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

SOUTH WALES
PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

BIRMINGHAM
PO Box 771, Great Barr,
Birmingham B44 9LZ

LEICESTER
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

LIVERPOOL
PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

MANCHESTER & SALFORD
PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

LEEDS & BRADFORD
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

HUDDERSFIELD
PO Box A43, Huddersfield HD1 4NN

WAKEFIELD
PO Box 101, Wakefield WF2 9XY

DONCASTER
PO Box 73, Doncaster DN4 6BS

SHEFFIELD
PO Box 44, Sheffield S1 1ES

DARLINGTON
PO Box 61, Darlington, Co. Durham DL3 9RD

TYNE & WEAR
PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

SCOTLAND
PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

The BNP also has units in Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Cambridge, Brierley Hill (West Midlands), Burton-on-Trent, Nottingham, North Nottinghamshire, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Blackburn, Blackpool, Hull, Halifax, Dewsbury, Sunderland, Edinburgh, Dundee and Inverness. If you want to make contact with any of the units, or start a new unit, please write to BNP Head Office at PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

Manfred Roeder: *To the Moral Apostles of our Time* & other essays. English translation. Just published. Price £2.00 plus 15p postage. PO Box 89, Spearhead.

You are invited to join a housing co-operative. Need help? Want to help? Write to 'Housing', BM Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX.

THE NIGHT I MET THE STEAMERS

BARRY OSBORNE relates an occasion when the London Underground thugs didn't get it all their own way

AFTER reading John Morse's article in the August issue concerning the craze of 'steaming' on the railways, I thought readers might be interested to hear of the time when, with a few fellow nationalists, I met a mob of these animals.

It happened on a night following a BNP meeting back in May 1985. After the meeting a group of us, six in number, went for a drink to chat over the evening's event.

We got onto the Piccadilly Line of the London Underground. When we reached Wood Green a group of twelve coloured youths (ten Blacks and two Asians) got into the carriage of the train next door to our own. After the train had moved off, the group came into our carriage, using the connecting doors, and moved on towards the carriage beyond.

Their demeanour made their intent obvious. My immediate thoughts were: "There's going to be a mugging here." (The term 'steaming' was then less in popular usage). My thought turned out to be right. When the group reached the door leading to the carriage beyond they pulled their woolly hats over their faces and went in. There were just two passengers in the carriage, a young woman and a young man.

KNIFE PULLED

When my friends and I saw this we followed the group into the carriage, where we saw them surround the young man, one of them having a knife in his hand. They outnumbered our group by two to one, and furthermore I myself was just recovering from a severe broken ankle, while another one of us still had a broken arm. I turned to some members of the public, in the carriage we had just left, who by this time had realised what was happening, and asked if any of them would like to give us a hand in dealing with the gang. Their response (all of them!) was to get out of their seats and

move down to the far end of the carriage, away from the gang.

By this time the train was just entering the next station. Before it had come to a stop, I pulled the emergency cord, knowing that the guard thereupon would leave the doors closed, giving the mob no escape.

By this time, the mob realised that tonight wasn't going to be so easy for them — they had had the misfortune to come up against a group of Whites who were going to stand up to them. They all realised that the only way out for them was to come through the door where we were standing.

They tried to push past us. The one with the knife came first. He was immediately hit on the jaw and dropped his knife and retreated. The next move of the gang was to rush us all together. A brief scuffle ensued out of which nine of the gang got away, but we did manage to hold the other three.

In a short time the police arrived, and they were really delighted at last to encounter some

members of the public prepared to take on the muggers. One said: "Well done, lads. We've been trying to catch this gang for weeks."

It took nine months for the case against the three detained to come to court. I have never seen such arrogance and contempt shown in a British Crown Courtroom. At one stage, one of the members of the mob stood up and announced to the judge and jury and the rest of the court: "Toilet, man," and just walked out! On the second day one of the gang turned up 90 minutes late.

All of the six of us were asked to give evidence, and in my case the defence counsel asked me: was I a 'racist'? The judge asked why he kept on about 'racism', etc., and he said that I had called the gang 'foreigners'.

The jury took fifteen minutes to come to a guilty verdict, and the three were all sent to prison for 12 to 15 months.

I don't suppose their little time in jail changed their attitudes, but the event made our night — the night we met the steamers!

OBITUARY ALAN HANCOCK

Mr. Alan Hancock, Britain's leading nationalist printer, died by his own hand on the 10th July.

Alan Hancock was born in 1914 and had had a long and distinguished record as a servant of the patriotic cause in Britain. Born in Croydon, he was before the war a member of Sir Oswald Mosley's British Union. When war came, he was opposed to Britain's involvement but joined the RAF and, on conscientious grounds, served a non-combatant role.

In the 1960s he was one of the leading lights in the Racial Preservation Society, and was one of the five defendants in the famous 'Lewes case' in 1968, in which sellers of the RPS paper were prosecuted for 'racial hatred'.

With the help of a brilliant defence counsel in Sir Joseph Maloney, the accused were acquitted — this representing a tremendous moral victory for the patriotic cause.

Later Mr. Hancock, with two partners, established an independent nationalist printing company, after tiring of troubles with ordinary printers frightened of being found out producing nationalist literature. Later the partners were bought out and Mr. Hancock, with his son Tony, took over the firm, which has rendered fine services to the patriotic cause over many years. Some years ago a bid was made by a Jewish gang to destroy the Hancocks' printing works by fire, but this failed and the firm survived.

Alan Hancock will be very much missed. Our condolences go out to all his family in their sad loss. The work to which he dedicated his life will, however, carry on.

Find out about the British National Party

Send 30p for information pack to:-

P.O. BOX 117
WELLING
KENT DA16 3DW

(Telephone enquiries to 01 316 4721)

Name.....

Address.....

I enclose.....